arXiv:1604.00451v3 [math.AT] 1 Mar 2017

UNIVERSAL GYSIN FORMULAS FOR THE UNIVERSAL HALL-LITTLEWOOD FUNCTIONS

MASAKI NAKAGAWA AND HIROSHI NARUSE

Abstract. It is known that the usual Schur S- and P-polynomials can be described via the Gysin homomorphisms for flag bundles in the ordinary cohomology theory. Recently, P. Pragacz generalized these *Gysin formulas* to the Hall-Littlewood polynomials. In this paper, we introduce a *universal* analogue of the Hall-Littlewood polynomials, which we call the *universal Hall-Littlewood functions*, and give Gysin formulas for various flag bundles in the complex cobordism theory. Furthermore, we give two kinds of the *universal* analogue of the schur polynomials, and some Gysin formulas for these functions are established.

Contents

1. Introduction 2
1.1. Gysin formulas 2
1.2. Gysin formulas for Schur functions 3
1.3. Organization of the paper 5
2. Topological preliminaries 5
2.1. Complex-oriented generalized cohomology theory 6
2.2. Gysin maps 8
2.3. Becker-Gottlieb transfer 9
2.4. Brumfiel-Madsen formula 10
2.5. Bressler-Evens formula 11
2.6. Various Gysin formulas 13
3. Universal Hall-Littlewood functions 17
3.1. Lazard ring $\mathbb{L}$ and the universal formal group law 17
3.2. Universal factorial Schur S-, P-, and Q-functions 18
3.3. Universal Hall-Littlewood functions 20
4. Applications of Gysin formulas to the Schur functions 22
4.1. Gysin formulas for various Schur functions 22
4.2. Universal Gysin formulas for the universal Schur functions 25
5. New universal factorial Schur functions 28
5.1. Definition of the new universal factorial Schur functions 28
5.2. Gysin formulas for the new universal Schur functions 30
5.3. Generating function for $\mathbb{S}^{\mathbb{L}}_k(\mathbf{x}_n)$ 33
5.4. Application of the Gysin formulas for the new universal Schur functions –Thom-Porteous formula for the complex cobordism theory– 35

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 05E05, 14M15, 14N15, 55N20, 55N22, 57R77.

Key words and phrases. Schur S-, P-, and Q-functions, Hall-Littlewood function, Complex-oriented generalized cohomology theory, Gysin map.

The first author is partially supported by the Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (C) 15K04876, Japan Society for the Promotion of Science.

The second author is partially supported by the Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (B) 16H03921, Japan Society for the Promotion of Science.

5.5. Application of the Gysin formulas for the new universal Schur functions –Class of Damon's resolution– 35
5.6. Concluding remarks and related work 38
References 40

1. Introduction

1.1. **Gysin formulas.** Any continuous map $f : X \longrightarrow Y$ between topological spaces defines *pull-back* homomorphism $f^* : H^i(Y) \longrightarrow H^i(X)$ in cohomology, and *push-forward* homomorphism $f_* : H_i(X) \longrightarrow H_i(Y)$ in homology for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}$. If X is a compact oriented smooth manifold of dimension m, the m-th homology group $H_m(X)$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}$, with a generator $[X]$ the *fundamental class* of X, and the following *Poincaré duality map*

$$\mathcal{P}_X : H^i(X) \longrightarrow H_{m-i}(X),\ \alpha \longmapsto \alpha \cap [X]$$

is an isomorphism. If $f : X \longrightarrow Y$ is a smooth map, with X and Y compact oriented smooth manifolds of dimensions m and n respectively, then we get a push-forward homomorphism in cohomology:

$$f_* : H^i(X) \xrightarrow{\sim} H_{m-i}(X) \xrightarrow{f_*} H_{m-i}(Y) \xleftarrow{\sim} H^{i-(m-n)}(Y).$$

This map is called a *Gysin map* (*homomorphism*), *push-forward*, or *Umkehr map*. Intuitively the intersection of cycles in homology is turned into the product of cohomology classes by means of Poincaré duality. This conversion, together with the computation of the Gysin maps, enables us to make some geometric problems into algebraic computations. For example, the computation of the Gysin map for various flag bundles is used to determine the cohomology class corresponding to a Schubert variety (see e.g., Akyildiz [2], Damon [17, Theorem 3 (Chern's formula)]). Furthermore, the similar computation is applied to determining the cohomology class of *degeneracy locus* (see e.g., Damon [17, Corollary 3], Fulton [23, Chapter 14], Porteous [57, p.298]). Thus the computation of various Gysin maps has a lot of applications in geometry, and there are many formulas describing Gysin maps. These formulas are called *Gysin formulas* or *push-forward formulas* in general. Although we do not intend to survey these formulas thoroughly here, we shall quote some results related to our work:

- Gysin formulas for flag bundles, Grassmann bundles, or projective bundles are described in Borel-Hirzebruch [7, §8], [8, §20], Buch [14, §7], Damon [16], [17], Darondeau-Pragacz [18], Fel'dman [22, §4], Fulton [23, §14.2], Fulton-Pragacz [25, Chapter IV, Appendices E, F], Harris-Tu [27, §2], Ilori [35], Kajimoto-Sugawara [40], Pragacz [58, §2], [60, §4], [61], Quillen [62], Sugawara [65], Tu [68], [69], Vishik [70, §5.7].
- For a connected complex (semi-simple) Lie group $G_{\mathbb{C}}$ with a Borel subgroup B and a parabolic subgroup P containing B, Gysin formulas for the natural projection $G_{\mathbb{C}}/B \longrightarrow G_{\mathbb{C}}/P$ are described in Akyildiz [2], Akyildiz-Carrell [3], [4], Fulton-Pragacz [25, Appendix E], Brion [12], Kajimoto [39].

As we mentioned above, most of these formulas are formulated in the ordinary cohomolgy (or Chow) theory, and many different approaches such as the *residue symbol* (Damon [16]), the *zeros of holomorphic vector fields on flag varieties* (Akyildiz-Carrell [3], [4]), *representation theory* (Brion [12]), and the *equivariant localization formula of Atiyah-Bott-Berline-Vergne for a torus action* (Tu [68], [69]) have been used to prove them.

On the other hand, it is known that the ordinary cohomology theory is a special case of *complex-oriented* generalized cohomology theories which corresponds to an *additive* formal group law (see Example 2.2 (1)). Therefore it is natural to ask if the above Gysin formulas in the ordinary cohomology theory can be generalized to any complex-oriented generalized cohomology theory. For example, Buch [14, §7] proved a K-theoretic analogue of Pragacz's Gysin formula [58]. Quillen [62] stated (without proof) the Gysin formula for a projective bundle in the complex cobordism theory. As shown by Quillen [62], the complex cobordism theory $MU^*(-)$ is *universal* among complex-oriented generalized cohomology theories, and therefore it is desirable to formulate these Gysin formulas in the complex cobordism theory. The first main purpose of this paper is to establish the Gysin formulas for general flag bundles in the complex cobordism theory.[1] One of our main results, Theorem 4.10, is a generalization of Pragacz's result [61, Proposition 5] to the complex cobordism theory. Note that Pragacz derived his formula from Brion's result [12, Proposition 2.1] which is proved by the Weyl character formula and the Grothendieck-Riemann-Roch theorem. Here we adopt a topological approach which was developed by Bressler-Evens [10, §1]. Main tools are the *Becker-Gottlieb transfer* [6, Theorem 4.3] and the *Brumfiel-Madsen formula* [13, Theorem 3.5]. It should be remarked that most of our results in this paper seems to be valid in the *algebraic cobordism theory* due to Levine-Morel [47].

1.2. **Gysin formulas for Schur functions.** In the previous subsection §1.1, we collected various Gysin formulas related to our work. Some formulas involve symmetric functions such as *Schur S-* and *P-functions* (see e.g., Fulton-Pragacz [25, Chapter IV], Pragacz [58, §2], [60, §4]). In order to clarify what we are considering, we shall give one typical example: Let $E \xrightarrow{p} X$ be a complex vector bundle of rank n over a variety X. Let $\pi : G^1(E) \longrightarrow X$ be the associated Grassmann bundle of hyperplanes in E.[2] On $G^1(E)$, we have the *tautological exact sequence* of vector bundles:

$$0 \longrightarrow S \hookrightarrow \pi^*(E) \twoheadrightarrow Q \longrightarrow 0.$$

Let $\xi := c_1(Q) \in H^2(G^1(E))$ be the first Chern class of the line bundle Q, and $x_1 := \xi, x_2, \ldots, x_n$ be the *Chern roots* of E.[3] Then as for the Gysin homomorphism $\pi_* : H^*(G^1(E)) \longrightarrow H^*(X)$, it is well-known that

$$\pi_*(\xi^k) = s_{k-n+1}(E) \quad (k \geq 0), \tag{1.1}$$

where $s_i(E)$ is the i-th *Segre class* of E (see e.g., Fulton-Pragacz [25, §4.1]). Since the Chern classes $c_i(E)$ can be identified with the i-th elementary symmetric polynomial $e_i(\mathbf{x}_n)$ in $\mathbf{x}_n = (x_1, \ldots, x_n)$,[4] the Segre class $s_j(E)$ can be identified with the j-th homogeneous complete symmetric polynomial $h_j(\mathbf{x}_n)$, which is nothing but the Schur S-polynomial $s_{(j)}(\mathbf{x}_n)$ corresponding to the "one-row" (j). Therefore the formula (1.1) can be interpreted as[5]

$$\pi_*(x_1^k) = s_{(k-n+1)}(\mathbf{x}_n). \tag{1.2}$$

[1] *Universal Gysin formulas* in the title of this paper signifies Gysin formulas in the complex cobordism theory which is *universal* among all complex-oriented generalized cohomology theories.

[2] One can naturally identify $G^1(E)$ with the associated projective bundle $P(E^\vee)$ of lines in the dual bundle $E^\vee$.

[3] By the *splitting principle*, the vector bundle E splits into the sum of line bundles when pulled-back to the *full flag bundle* $\mathcal{F}\ell(E)$ via the projection $\tau : \mathcal{F}\ell(E) \longrightarrow X$. The Chern roots of E are the first Chern classes of these line bundles on $\mathcal{F}\ell(E)$.

[4] by means of $\tau^* : H^*(X) \longrightarrow H^*(\mathcal{F}\ell(E))$, which is known to be injective.

[5] Strictly speaking, this formula should be considered in $H^*(\mathcal{F}\ell(E))$.

The formula (1.2) can be generalized to the full flag bundle $\tau : \mathcal{F}\ell(E) \longrightarrow X$ as follows: Let $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ldots, \lambda_n)$ $(\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \cdots \geq \lambda_n \geq 0)$ be a partition of length $\leq n$. Then Fulton-Pragacz [25, (4.1)] (see also Pragacz [58, Lemma 2.3], [60, Proposition 4.4], [61, Example 8]) showed the following formula[6]:

$$\tau_*(x_1^{\lambda_1+n-1} x_2^{\lambda_2+n-2} \cdots x_n^{\lambda_n}) = s_\lambda(\mathbf{x}_n). \tag{1.3}$$

The formula (1.3) gives the usual Schur S-polynomial as the push-forward image of the Gysin map from the full flag bundle, and is called the *Jacobi-Trudi identity* in Fulton-Pragacz [25, p.42]. The essentially identical formula has been obtained by many authors (Damon [17, Corollary 2], Harris-Tu [27, Proposition 2.3], Manivel [50, Exercise 3.8.3], Pragacz [60, Proposition 4.4], [61, Example 8], Sugawara [65, Theorem A]). An analogous formula for Schur P-polynomial is also given by Pragacz [58, Corollary 2.7], [61, Example 11]. Recently, Pragacz [61] succeeded in generalizing the above Gysin formulas for Schur S- and P-polynomials to the *Hall-Littlewood polynomials*, which interpolate between Schur S- and P-polynomials (see Macdonald [48, Chapter III, §2]).

On the other hand, it is well-known that the usual Schur S-polylnomials (resp. P-polynomials) *represent* the *Schubert classes* of the complex Grassmannian (resp. Lagrangian Grassmannian) in the ordinary cohomology (see e.g., Fulton [24, §9.4], Pragacz [59, §6]). In order to generalize the above facts to other cohomology theories such as K-theory, complex cobordism theory (or algebraic cobordism theory) and their torus equivariant versions, various generalizations, analogues, and deformations of Schur functions have been introduced by many authors. We shall quote some of them for convenience of the readers (in the following, λ (resp. ν) is understood to be a partition (resp. strict partition) of length $\leq n$, and $\mathbf{x}_n = (x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ is a sequence of n independent variables, and $\mathbf{b} = (b_1, b_2, \ldots)$ is a sequence of "deformation paremeters"):

- The *factorial Schur polynomials* $s_\lambda(\mathbf{x}_n|\mathbf{b})$ (see Ikeda-Naruse [32, §5.1], Macdonald [48, Chapter 1, Examples 20], [49, 6th variation], Molev-Sagan [54]) represent the Schubert classes of the torus equivariant cohomology of the complex Grassmannian (see Knutson-Tao [42, §6], Ikeda-Naruse [32, Theorem 5.4]).
- The *factorial Schur P- and Q-polynomials* $P_\nu(\mathbf{x}_n|\mathbf{b})$, $Q_\nu(\mathbf{x}_n|\mathbf{b})$ introduced by Ivanov [36, Definitions 2.10 and 2.13] (see also Ikeda-Mihalcea-Naruse [33, §4.2]) represent the Schubert classes of the torus equivariant cohomology of the orthogonal and Lagrangian Grassmannians (see Ikeda [31, Theorem 6.2], Ikeda-Naruse [32, Theorem 8.7]).
- The *factorial Grothendieck polynomials* $G_\lambda(\mathbf{x}_n|\mathbf{b})$ introduced by McNamara [51, Definition 4.1] (see also Ikeda-Naruse [34, (2.13), (2.14)]) represent the Schubert classes of the torus equivariant K-theory of the complex Grassmannian (see Ikeda-Naruse [34]).
- The *K-theoretic factorial P- and Q-polynomials* $GP_\nu(\mathbf{x}_n|\mathbf{b})$, $GQ_\nu(\mathbf{x}_n|\mathbf{b})$ introduced by Ikeda-Naruse [34, Definition 2.1] represent the Schubert classes of the torus equivariant K-theory of the orthogonal and Lagrangian Grassmannians (see Ikeda-Naruse [34, Theorem 8.3]).
- The *universal factorial Schur (S-) functions*[7] $s^{\mathbb{L}}_\lambda(\mathbf{x}_n|\mathbf{b})$, *$P$- and Q-functions* $P^{\mathbb{L}}_\nu(\mathbf{x}_n|\mathbf{b})$, $Q^{\mathbb{L}}_\nu(\mathbf{x}_n|\mathbf{b})$ were introduced by the authors (see [55, Definitions 4.1

[6] This formula is also considered in $H^*(\mathcal{F}\ell(E))$.

[7] Notice that $s_\lambda(\mathbf{x}_n|\mathbf{b})$ and $G_\lambda(\mathbf{x}_n|\mathbf{b})$ are polynomials in $\mathbf{x}_n$, whereas $s^{\mathbb{L}}_\lambda(\mathbf{x}_n|\mathbf{b})$ is a formal power series in $\mathbf{x}_n$.

and 4.10] or (3.1) and (3.4) in this paper). These functions are *universal* analogue of the above polynomials.

Thus our second main purpose of this paper is the introduction of the *universal* analogue of the Hall-Littlewood polynomials, and to establish the Gysin formulas for various Schur functions in the complex cobordism theory. The universal analogue of the Hall-Littlewood polynomials denoted by $H^{\mathbb{L}}_\lambda(\mathbf{x}_n;t)$ are defined in Definition 3.2, and we call them the *universal Hall-Littlewood functions*. Then our main result in this direction is Corollary 4.11 which gives the universal Hall-Littlewood function as the push-forward image of the Gysin map from a partial flag bundle, thus generalizing Pragacz's result (see Corollary 4.4). The Jacobi-Trudi identity (1.3) can also be formulated in the complex cobordism theory (see Corollary 4.8). With regard to the universal Schur functions $s^{\mathbb{L}}_\lambda(\mathbf{x}_n)$ and the universal Hall-Littlewood functions $H^{\mathbb{L}}_\lambda(\mathbf{x}_n;t)$, a comment is in order: The usual Hall-Littlewood polynomial denoted by $P_\lambda(x_1,\dots,x_n;t)$ in Macdonald's book [48, Chapter III, §2] reduces to the usual Schur polynomial $s_\lambda(x_1,\dots,x_n)$ under the specialization $t=0$. However, we found that our $H^{\mathbb{L}}_\lambda(\mathbf{x}_n;t)$ does not necessarily reduce to the universal Schur function $s^{\mathbb{L}}_\lambda(\mathbf{x}_n)$ when $t=0$. Thus the specialization $H^{\mathbb{L}}_\lambda(\mathbf{x}_n;0)$ gives another universal analogue of Schur functions, which we call the *new universal Schur functions*.[8] We shall discuss these new functions denoted $\mathbb{S}^{\mathbb{L}}_\lambda(\mathbf{x}_n)$ (and their factorial version denoted $\mathbb{S}^{\mathbb{L}}_\lambda(\mathbf{x}|\mathbf{b})$) separately in the final section §5. Among our results in this section are Theorem 5.6 which reveals the difference between the "old" and the "new" universal factorial Schur functions. As an application of the Gysin formulas for the new universal factorial Schur functions, we formulate the *Thom-Porteous fromula* in the complex cobordism theory (see Theoren 5.11). Moreover, we shall show that the new universal factorial Schur functions *represent* the Schubert classes of the complex Grassmannian in the complex cobordism theory (see Theorem 5.12).

1.3. **Organization of the paper.** The paper is organized as follows: In Section 2, we shall give topological preliminaries needed to develop our work. The key concepts are *complex-oriented generalized cohomology theory*, *Gysin maps*, *Becker-Gottlieb transfer*, *Brumfiel-Madsen formula*, *Bressler-Evens formula*. Various types of *Gysin formulas* are reviewed at the end of this section. Section 3 is devoted to the introduction of the *universal* analogue of the usual Schur S-, P-, Q-, and Hall-Littlewood polynomials. Especially, the *universal Hall-Littlewood functions*, which are the central theme of this paper, are introduced. In Section 4, after reviewing the Gysin formulas for various Schur functions, we shall give the *universal* analogues of these formulas. In order to establish these formulas, the Bressler-Evens formula plays the crucial role. In Section 5, we introduce the *new universal factorial Schur functions*. If we set all the deformation parameters to be 0, the *new universal Schur functions* can be obtained, and these functions coincide with the universal Hall-Littlewood functions under the specialization $t=0$. We also give some Gysin formulas for these new universal Schur functions.

Acknowledgments. We would like to thank Takeshi Ikeda, Thomas Hudson, Tomoo Matsumura for helpful comments and valuable conversations. Especially, Tomoo Matsumura kindly explained his recent work (Hudson-Matsumura [29]) to us, that is closely related to our current work.

2. Topological preliminaries

[8] It is desirable to give these functions more specific name which characterize them (a poposal will be given in §5.5).

2.1. **Complex-oriented generalized cohomology theory.** A *generalized cohomology theory* $h^*(-) = \bigoplus_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} h^n(-)$ is a contravariant functor from the category $\mathcal{CW}$ of CW complexes to the category of graded abelian groups which satisfies all the axioms of Eilenberg-Steenrod [21, Chapter I, 3c] except the *dimension axiom*. Thus $h^n(\mathrm{pt})$ is not necessarily zero even if $n \neq 0$. Here pt means a space consisting of a single point. The cohomology $h^* := h^*(\mathrm{pt})$ of a point is called the *coefficient group*. In what follows, we assume that the theory $h^*(-)$ is *multiplicative*, that is, for CW pairs (X, A) and (Y, B), there exists an *external product*

$$h^k(X, A) \otimes h^l(Y, B) \longrightarrow h^{k+l}((X, A) \times (Y, B)) \quad k, l \in \mathbb{Z},$$

that satisfies certain axioms (see e.g., Dold [20, §4]). Under this assumption, h^* becomes a graded-commutative ring, and for a space X, the cohomology ring $h^*(X)$ has an h^*-module structure. Furthermore, if we consider the *infinite* CW complexes, we need suitable axioms about limits such as the *additivity axiom* or *wedge axiom* due to Milnor [52]. In what follows, when we refer to a *generalized cohomology theory*, it means a multiplicative generalized cohomology theory defined on $\mathcal{CW}$ satisfying the additivity axiom unless otherwise stated. Let $\tilde{h}^*(-)$ denote the corresponding *reduced* cohomology theory,[9] and let $j : \mathbb{C}P^1 \longhookrightarrow \mathbb{C}P^\infty$ be the canonical inclusion of $\mathbb{C}P^1 \approx S^2$ into the infinite complex projective space.

Definition 2.1 (Adams [1], Part II, p.37; Switzer [66], §16.27)**.** *A generalized cohomology theory $h^*(-)$ is called complex-orientable if there exists an element $x^h \in \tilde{h}^2(\mathbb{C}P^\infty)$ such that $j^*(x^h)$ is a generator of $\tilde{h}^2(\mathbb{C}P^1) \cong \tilde{h}^2(S^2) \cong \tilde{h}^0(S^0) \cong h^0(\mathrm{pt}) = h^0$.*

If this element x^h is specified, then $h^*(-)$ is said to be *complex-oriented*, and x^h is called the *orientation class*. Then it is known that the cohomology ring of the infinite projective space $\mathbb{C}P^\infty$ is $h^*(\mathbb{C}P^\infty) = h^*[[x^h]]$, a formal power series ring with the given generator $x^h \in \tilde{h}^2(\mathbb{C}P^\infty)$ (see Adams [1, Part II, Lemma 2.5]).

Complex-orientability implies a lot of useful properties. We recall here some of them.

2.1.1. *Chern classes.* For a complex vector bundle $E \xrightarrow{p} X$, one can define the *h^*-theory Chern classes* $c_i^h(E) \in h^{2i}(X)$ $(i = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, n = \operatorname{rank} E)$ by the usual Grothendieck's method (see Conner-Floyd [15, Theorem 7.6], Grothendieck [26, §3], Switzer [66, Theorem 16.2]). The total Chern class of E is given by $c^h(E) := \sum_{i=0}^n c_i^h(E)$. Then the usual Whitney product formula is given by $c^h(E \oplus F) = c^h(E) \cdot c^h(F)$ for two complex vector bundles E, F.

2.1.2. *Formal group law.* If L, M are complex line bundles over X, then

$$c_1^h(L \otimes M) = F_h(c_1^h(L), c_1^h(M)),$$

where

$$F_h(X, Y) = X + Y + \sum_{i,j\geq 1} a_{i,j}^h X^i Y^j \in h^*[[X, Y]] \quad (a_{i,j}^h \in h^{2(1-i-j)})$$

is a (one dimensional commutative) formal group law over the graded ring h^* associated with the cohomology theory $h^*(-)$. Then the formal power series $F_h(X, Y)$ satisfies the conditions

(i) $F_h(X, 0) = X$, $F_h(0, Y) = Y$,
(ii) $F_h(X, Y) = F_h(Y, X)$,

[9] For a CW-complex X with base point x_0, the reduced cohomology $\tilde{h}^*(X)$ is defined to be $h^*(X, x_0)$.

(iii) $F_h(X, F_h(Y, Z)) = F_h(F_h(X, Y), Z)$.

We shall use this formal group law to define the *formal sum*, *formal inverse*, and *formal subtraction*. For two indeterminates X, Y, the formal sum $X +_F Y$ is defined as

$$X +_F Y := F_h(X, Y) = X + Y + \sum_{i,j \geq 1} a^h_{i,j} X^i Y^j \in h^*[[X, Y]].$$

Denote by

$$[-1]_F(X) = \iota_F(X) = \overline{X} = -X + \sum_{j \geq 2} c^h_j X^j \in h^*[[X]]$$

the formal inverse series. To be precise, $[-1]_F(X)$ is the unique formal power series satisfying the condition $F_h(X, [-1]_F(X)) \equiv 0$, or equivalently $X +_F [-1]_F(X) = 0$. This formal inverse allows us to define the formal subtraction:

$$X -_F Y := X +_F [-1]_F(Y) = X +_F \overline{Y}.$$

Finally, we define $[0]_F(X) := 0$, and inductively,

$$[n]_F(X) := [n-1]_F(X) +_F X = F_h([n-1]_F(X), X) = \underbrace{X +_F X +_F \cdots +_F X}_{n}$$

for $n \geq 1$. We also define $[-n]_F(X) := [n]_F([-1]_F(X)) = [-1]_F([n]_F(X))$ for $n \geq 1$. We call $[n]_F(X)$ the *n-series* in the following.

Example 2.2.

(1) *For the ordinary cohomology theory (with integer coefficients) $h = H$, the coefficient ring is $H^* = H^*(\mathrm{pt}) = \mathbb{Z}$ ($H^0 = \mathbb{Z}$, $H^k = 0$ $(k \neq 0)$). We choose the standard orientation, namely the class of a hyperplane $x^H \in \tilde{H}^2(\mathbb{C}P^\infty)$. Then the associated formal group law is the additive formal group law $F_H(X, Y) = F_a(X, Y) = X + Y$, and the formal inverse is given by $[-1]_H(X) = -X$.*

(2) *For the (topological) K-theory $h = K$, the coefficient ring is $K^* = K^*(\mathrm{pt}) = \mathbb{Z}[\beta, \beta^{-1}]$, with $\beta := 1 - \eta_1^\vee \in K^{-2}(\mathrm{pt}) \cong \tilde{K}(S^2)$, where η_1 stands for the tautological (or Hopf) line bunlde over $\mathbb{C}P^1 \cong S^2$, and $\eta_1^\vee$ its dual. We choose the standard orientation $x^K := \beta^{-1}(1 - \eta_\infty^\vee) \in \tilde{K}^2(\mathbb{C}P^\infty)$, where η_∞ stands for the tautological line bundle over $\mathbb{C}P^\infty$.*[10] *Then the associated formal group law is the multiplicative formal group law $F_K(X, Y) = F_m(X, Y) = X + Y - \beta XY$, and the formal inverse is given by*

$$[-1]_K(X) = -\frac{X}{1 - \beta X} = -X - \beta X^2 - \beta^2 X^3 - \beta^3 X^4 - \cdots.$$

(3) *For the complex cobordism theory $h = MU$, the coefficient ring $MU^* = MU^*(\mathrm{pt})$ is a polynomial algebra over $\mathbb{Z}$ on generators of degrees $-2, -4, \ldots$ (see e.g., Adams* [1, Part II, Theorem 8.1]*). As in Adams* [1, Part II, Examples (2.4)]*, Ravenel* [64, Example 4.1.3]*, we take the orientation class $x^{MU} \in \tilde{MU}^2(\mathbb{C}P^\infty)$ to be the (stable) homotopy class of the map $\mathbb{C}P^\infty \simeq BU(1) \xrightarrow{\sim} MU(1)$, where $MU(1)$ denotes the Thom space of the universal line bundle over $BU(1)$. Then the associated formal group law*

$$F_{MU}(X, Y) = X + Y + \sum_{i,j \geq 1} a^{MU}_{i,j} X^i Y^j, \quad a^{MU}_{i,j} \in MU^{2(1-i-j)},$$

[10] We adopt the convention due to Bott [9, Theorem 7.1], Levine-Morel [47, Example 1.1.5] so that the K-theory first Chern class of a line bundle L (over a space X) is given by $c_1^K(L) = \beta^{-1}(1 - L^\vee)$, where $L^\vee$ denotes the dual bundle of L. In this convention, the orientation class x^K is equal to the K-theory first Chern class of the bundle η_∞, namely $c_1^K(\eta_\infty) = \beta^{-1}(1 - \eta_\infty^\vee)$.

is a universal formal group law first shown by Quillen [62, Theorem 2]. *To be precise, for any formal group law F over a commutative ring R with unit, there exists a unique ring homomorphism $\theta : MU^* \longrightarrow R$ such that $F(X,Y) = (\theta_* F_{MU})(X,Y) := X + Y + \sum_{i,j\geq 1} \theta(a_{i,j}^{MU}) X^i Y^j$. Quillen also showed that the coefficient ring MU^* is isomorphic to the Lazard ring $\mathbb{L}$ (see* §3.1 *in this paper).*

2.2. **Gysin maps.** For a certain kind of map $f : X \longrightarrow Y$ between spaces, the so-called *Gysin map*, *push-forward*, or *Umkehr map*, usually denoted by $f_* : h^*(X) \longrightarrow h^*(Y)$ can be defined. Here are some examples of Gysin maps:

– (Classical Gysin map in the ordinary cohomology theory): For a smooth map

$$f : M \longrightarrow N,$$

between compact oriented smooth manifolds, the *Gysin map*

$$f_* : H^q(M) \longrightarrow H^{q-(\dim M - \dim N)}(N)$$

can be defined by $f_* := \mathcal{P}_N^{-1} \circ f_* \circ \mathcal{P}_M$, where $\mathcal{P}_M$ (resp. $\mathcal{P}_N$) denotes the Poincaré duality isomorphism from cohomology to homology.

– (Integration along (over) the fiber): For a fibration $F \hookrightarrow E \xrightarrow{\pi} B$ with the base B simply-connected and the fiber F a compact connected manifold, Borel-Hirzebruch [7, §8] defined a push-forward map called the *integration along the fiber*:

$$\pi_* = \natural : H^q(E) \longrightarrow H^{q-\dim F}(B).$$

– (Gysin map in the K-theory $K(-)$): For a proper morphism $f : X \longrightarrow Y$ of non-singular varieties, Grothendieck constructed an additive map $f_! : K_\circ(X) \longrightarrow K_\circ(Y)$ defined by

$$f_!([\mathcal{F}]) := \sum_{i\geq 0} (-1)^i [R^i f_*(\mathcal{F})],$$

for $\mathcal{F}$ a coherent sheaf. Here $R^i f_*(\mathcal{F})$ is *Grothendieck's higher direct image sheaf* (see e.g., Fulton [23, §15.1]).

– (Gysin map in the complex cobordism theory $MU^*(-)$): In [63], Quillen gave a geometric interpretation of the complex cobordism theory $MU^*(X)$, where X is assumed to be a manifold. In his interpretation, an element of $MU^*(X)$ is given by a *cobordism class* of a *proper* and *complex-oriented* map $f : Z \longrightarrow X$. A proper complex-oriented map $g : X \longrightarrow Y$ of dimension d induces a map

$$g_* : MU^q(X) \longrightarrow MU^{q-d}(Y)$$

which sends the cobordism class of $f : Z \longrightarrow X$ into the cobordism class of $g \circ f : Z \longrightarrow Y$.

All these Gysin maps have the common properties which can be axiomatized as follows (see Bressler-Evens [10, p.801, (E)], Levine-Morel [47, Definition 1.1.2], Quillen [63, §1]): Let $h^*(-)$ be a complex-oriented generalized cohomology theory defined on a suitable category of spaces. For a morphism $f : X \longrightarrow Y$, one has a Gysin map $f_* : h^*(X) \longrightarrow h^*(Y)$ having the following basic properties:

(1) (Naturality): For a composite $g \circ f : X \xrightarrow{f} Y \xrightarrow{g} Z$, one has $(g \circ f)_* = g_* \circ f_*$.
(2) (Projection formula): For $x \in h^*(X)$ and $y \in h^*(Y)$, one has $f_*(f^*(y) \cdot x) = y \cdot f_*(x)$.

(3) (Base-change): For the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X \times_Z Y & \xrightarrow{\tilde{f}} & Y \\ {\scriptstyle \tilde{g}}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle g} \\ X & \xrightarrow{f} & Z, \end{array}$$

one has $f^* \circ g_* = \tilde{g}_* \circ \tilde{f}^*$. Here $X \times_Z Y$ denotes the fiber product of X and Y over Z, namely $X \times_Z Y = \{(x, y) \in X \times Y \mid f(x) = g(y)\}$.

2.3. **Becker-Gottlieb transfer.** Let $F \overset{\iota}{\hookrightarrow} E \overset{\pi}{\longrightarrow} B$ be a fiber bundle whose fiber F is a compact smooth manifold, whose structure group G is a compact Lie group acting smoothly on F, and whose base space B is a finite complex. In this setting, Becker-Gottlieb [6, §3] constructed a *stable* map[11]

$$\tau(\pi) : B^+ \longrightarrow E^+,$$

called the *Becker-Gottlieb transfer*. Here B^+ means the union of B with a point. For any generalized cohomology theory $h^*(-)$, the map $\tau(\pi) : B^+ \longrightarrow E^+$ induces a "wrong-way" degree-preserving homomorphism

$$\tau(\pi)^* : h^*(E) \longrightarrow h^*(B),$$

which is also called the Becker-Gottlieb transfer. $\tau(\pi)^*$ is *not* a ring homomorphism, but is an $h^*(B)$-module homomorphism (Becker-Gottlieb [6, (5.3)]), that is, the following formula holds:

$$\tau(\pi)^*(\pi^*(x) \cdot y) = x \cdot \tau(\pi)^*(y), \quad x \in h^*(B),\ y \in h^*(E).$$

Furthermore the Gysin map and the Becker-Gottlieb transfer are related as follows (see Becker-Gottlieb [6, Theorem 4.3]):

$$\tau(\pi)^*(x) = \pi_*(\chi^h(T_\pi) \cdot x) \quad (x \in h^*(E)). \tag{2.1}$$

Here T_π is the (*tangent*) *bundle along the fibers* (*of* π) (see e.g., Borel-Hirzebruch [7, §7.4]),[12] and $\chi^h(T_\pi)$ denotes the h^*-theory Euler class of T_π. Here T_π is regarded as a real vector bundle, and assumed to be h^*-*oriented* (For the notion of h^*-orientablility, see Atiyah-Bott-Shapiro [5, §12], Dold [20, §4]). The h^*-theory Euler class $\chi^h(T_\pi)$ is defined with respect to this orientation. In practice (see Bressler-Evens [10, §1] and §2.5), we require that the fiber F is smooth and *almost complex*, and the structure group of F preserves the almost complex structure. Hence the tangent bundle $T(F)$ has a complex vector bundle structure, and so does the bundle along the fibers T_π. If the cohomology theory $h^*(-)$ is complex-oriented, then the h^*-theory Euler class $\chi^h(T_\pi)$ is nothing but the h^*-theory *top* Chern class $c_f^h(T_\pi)$, where $2f$ is the *real* dimension of F.

[11] For a suitable positive integer m, we have a map

$$\tau(\pi) : S^m(B^+) \longrightarrow S^m(E^+),$$

where S denotes the reduced suspension.

[12] If we assume that E, B are also smooth manifolds, T_π is a subbundle of the tangent bundle $T(E)$ of E. The fiber of T_π over a point $y \in E$ consists of all tangent vectors at the point y which are tangent to the fiber ($\cong F$) through y. If we denote by $\iota : F \hookrightarrow E$ the fiber inclusion, then $\iota^*(T_\pi) \cong T(F)$, the tangent bundle of F.

2.4. **Brumfiel-Madsen formula.** Let G be a compact connected (semi-simple) Lie group (of rank ℓ) with a maximal torus T ($\cong (U(1))^\ell$). Let H be a closed connected subgroup of G of maximal rank, i.e., $T \subset H$. Denote by W_G and W_H the Weyl group of G and H respectively. We then have a natural inclusion $W_H \subset W_G$. Suppose that $G \hookrightarrow P \longrightarrow B$ is a principal G-bundle, and consider the following associated bundles:

$$\begin{aligned} G/T \hookrightarrow \quad & E_1 := P \times_G (G/T) \xrightarrow{\pi_1} \quad B, \\ G/H \hookrightarrow \quad & E_2 := P \times_G (G/H) \xrightarrow{\pi_2} \quad B. \end{aligned}$$

Then there is a fiber bundle $H/T \hookrightarrow E_1 \xrightarrow{\pi} E_2$, where the projection π is induced from the natural projection (also denoted by the same symbol) $\pi : G/T \longrightarrow G/H$, and we have the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E_1 = P \times_G (G/T) & \xrightarrow{\pi} & E_2 = P \times_G (G/H) \\ {\scriptstyle \pi_1}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \pi_2} \\ B & \xrightarrow{=} & B. \end{array} \tag{2.2}$$

The usual right action of the Weyl group W_G on G/T induces a right action on $E_1 = P \times_G (G/T)$ over B, i.e., a bundle map over B. As a subgroup of W_G, the Weyl group W_H of H also acts on E_1, which is a bundle map over E_2. Therefore the coset $\overline{w} = wW_H \in W_G/W_H$ defines a well-defined map $\pi \circ w : E_1 \xrightarrow{w} E_1 \xrightarrow{\pi} E_2$, which induces a homomorphism in cohomology: $w \circ \pi^* : h^*(E_2) \xrightarrow{\pi^*} h^*(E_1) \xrightarrow{w} h^*(E_1)$. Then Brumfiel-Madsen established the following useful formula:

Theorem 2.3 (Brumfiel-Madsen [13], Theorem 3.5; Bressler-Evens [10], Theorem 1.3)**.** *In the above setting, we have*

$$\pi_1^* \circ \tau(\pi_2)^* = \sum_{\overline{w} \in W_G/W_H} w \circ \pi^*.$$

As a special case where $H = T$, we have

Corollary 2.4 (Bressler-Evens [10], Corollary 1.4)**.**

$$\pi_1^* \circ \tau(\pi_1)^* = \sum_{w \in W_G} w.$$

We apply Corollary 2.4 to the case where $P = EG$, the universal space[13] for G, so that $B = BG$, the classifying space of G, and $E_1 = EG \times_G (G/T) \simeq BT$. In this case, the fibration $G/T \hookrightarrow E_1 \xrightarrow{\pi_1} B$ becomes the following classical Borel fibration:

$$G/T \xhookrightarrow{\iota} BT \xrightarrow{\rho = \rho(T,G)} BG.$$

Thus we have the following:

$$\rho^* \circ \tau(\rho)^* = \sum_{w \in W_G} w. \tag{2.3}$$

Combining (2.1) and (2.3), we have

$$\rho^* \circ \rho_*(\chi^h(T_\rho) \cdot f) = \sum_{w \in W_G} w \cdot f \quad \text{for } f \in h^*(BT). \tag{2.4}$$

From this formula, Bressler-Evens [10] derived a useful formula which is explained briefly in the next subsection.

[13] EG is a contractible space on which G acts freely.

2.5. **Bressler-Evens formula.** Before stating their result, we shall recall some facts from Lie theory. Let $T \subset G$ be as above and $G/T \overset{\iota}{\hookrightarrow} BT \overset{\rho}{\longrightarrow} BG$ the Borel fibration. Let $G_{\mathbb{C}}$ and $T_{\mathbb{C}}$ be the complexification of G and T respectively. Thus $G_{\mathbb{C}}$ is a connected complex (semi-simple) Lie group with maximal compact subgroup G, and $T_{\mathbb{C}} \cong (\mathbb{C}^*)^{\ell}$. Denote by B a Borel subgroup of $G_{\mathbb{C}}$ containing $T_{\mathbb{C}}$. Then the natural inclusion $G \hookrightarrow G_{\mathbb{C}}$ induces a diffeomorphism $G/T \overset{\sim}{\to} G_{\mathbb{C}}/B$. By this identification, the *full flag manifold* G/T is equipped with a complex structure. Let $\mathfrak{g}$ and $\mathfrak{t}$ be the Lie algebras of G and T, and $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}} = \mathfrak{g} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}$ and $\mathfrak{t}_{\mathbb{C}} = \mathfrak{t} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}$ their complexification. Then $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}$ and $\mathfrak{t}_{\mathbb{C}}$ are the Lie algebras of $G_{\mathbb{C}}$ and $T_{\mathbb{C}}$ respectively. Then we have the root space decomposition

$$\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}} = \mathfrak{t}_{\mathbb{C}} \oplus \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Delta^+} (\mathfrak{g}_{\alpha} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{-\alpha}), \tag{2.5}$$

where $\mathfrak{g}_{\alpha} = \{x \in \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}} \,|\, [h, x] = \alpha(h)x \ (\forall h \in \mathfrak{t}_{\mathbb{C}})\}$, and the system of *positive* roots $\Delta^+ \subset \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathfrak{t}_{\mathbb{C}}, \mathbb{C})$ corresponds to the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{b}$ of B. Thus $\mathfrak{b} = \mathfrak{t}_{\mathbb{C}} \oplus \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Delta^+} \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha}$. We set $\Delta^- := -\Delta^+$, the system of *negative* roots, and $\Delta := \Delta^+ \sqcup \Delta^-$, the system of roots. It is well-known that the tangent bundle $T(G/T)$ to G/T is isomorphic to the vector bundle $G \times_T (\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{t})$ associated with the principal T-bundle $T \hookrightarrow G \longrightarrow G/T$ and T-module $\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{t}$. Since we have the natural identification $\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{t} \cong \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}/\mathfrak{b}$, we have the following isomorphism as *complex* vector bundles:

$$T(G/T) \cong G \times_T (\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}/\mathfrak{b}).$$

Hence the tangent bundle along the fibers T_{ρ} is isomorphic to the *complex* vector bundle $ET \times_T (\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}/\mathfrak{b})$ associated with the universal T-bundle $T \hookrightarrow ET \to BT$. Thus

$$T_{\rho} \cong ET \times_T (\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}/\mathfrak{b}). \tag{2.6}$$

For each character $\chi \in \mathrm{Hom}\,(T, U(1)) \cong \mathrm{Hom}\,(T_{\mathbb{C}}, \mathbb{C}^*) = \hat{T}_{\mathbb{C}}$, we have the associated complex line bundle L_{χ} over BT defined by $L_{\chi} := ET \times_T \mathbb{C} = (ET \times \mathbb{C})/(y, v) \sim (y \cdot t, \chi(t)^{-1}v)$. Each root $\alpha \in \Delta \subset \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathfrak{t}_{\mathbb{C}}, \mathbb{C})$ defines a character $\chi_{\alpha} \in \mathrm{Hom}\,(T_{\mathbb{C}}, \mathbb{C}^*)$, and we have the associated complex line bundle $L_{\chi_{\alpha}}$, which is also denoted by L_{α} for simplicity. By (2.5) and (2.6), we have

$$T_{\rho} \cong ET \times_T \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Delta^+} \mathfrak{g}_{-\alpha} \cong \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Delta^+} L_{-\alpha}.$$

Therefore the h^*-theory top Chern class (Euler class) of T_{ρ} is given by

$$c^h_{\mathrm{top}}(T_{\rho}) = c^h_{\mathrm{top}}\left(\bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Delta^+} L_{-\alpha}\right) = \prod_{\alpha \in \Delta^+} c^h_1(L_{-\alpha}). \tag{2.7}$$

From (2.7) and the formula (2.4), Bressler-Evens deduced the following:[14]

Theorem 2.5 (Bresser-Evens [10], Theorem 1.8)**.** *Let $h^*(-)$ be a complex-oriented generalized cohomology theory. We assume that the coefficient ring h^* is torsion-free.*[15] *Then for $f \in h^*(BT)$, we have*

$$\rho^* \circ \rho_*(f) = \sum_{w \in W_G} w \cdot \left[\frac{f}{\prod_{\alpha \in \Delta^+} c^h_1(L_{-\alpha})}\right].$$

[14] For the ordinary cohomology theory $h = H$, this formula was already proved by Borel-Hirzebruch [8, Theorem 20.3] (see also Tu [69, §11.1]).

[15] We made this assumption for simplicity. See Bressler-Evens [11, Remark 1.10] for less restrictive assumptions.

One can easily extend Theorem 2.5 to the case of *partial flag manifolds*. Thus let $\Theta \subset \Pi := \{\text{simple roots}\} \subset \Delta^+$ be a subset of the set of simple roots, and $P = P_\Theta$ be the corresponding *parabolic subgroup*, and put $H = H_\Theta := G \cap P$. Then it is known that H is the centralizer of the toral subgroup defined by "$\alpha = 0$" for $\forall \alpha \in \Theta$, and hence a closed connected subgroup of G of maximal rank, i.e., $T \subset H$. The homogeneous manifold G/H has a complex structure (see e.g., Borel-Hirzebruch [7, §13.5]), and we have the following Borel fibration

$$G/H \overset{\iota_H}{\hookrightarrow} BH \overset{\sigma=\rho(H,G)}{\longrightarrow} BG.$$

There exists a natural identification $G/H \overset{\sim}{\to} G_{\mathbb{C}}/P$, and this homogeneous manifold is called a partial flag manifold (see e.g., Borel-Hirzebruch [7, §14.3]). Denote by $\Delta_H = \Delta_H^+ \sqcup \Delta_H^-$ the system of roots of H with respect to T. The Lie algebra $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{p}_\Theta$ of P is given by

$$\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{b} \oplus \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Delta_H^+} \mathfrak{g}_{-\alpha} = \mathfrak{t}_{\mathbb{C}} \oplus \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Delta^+} \mathfrak{g}_\alpha \oplus \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Delta_H^+} \mathfrak{g}_{-\alpha}. \tag{2.8}$$

The tangent bundle of $G/H \overset{\sim}{\to} G_{\mathbb{C}}/P$ is given by

$$T(G/H) \cong G \times_H (\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}/\mathfrak{p}).$$

Hence the tangent bundle along the fibers T_σ is isomorphic to the complex vector bundle $EH \times_H (\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}/\mathfrak{p})$ associated with the universal H-bundle $H \hookrightarrow EH \longrightarrow BH$. Thus

$$T_\sigma \cong EH \times_H (\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}/\mathfrak{p}). \tag{2.9}$$

We apply Theorem 2.3 to the case where $P = EG$ and $H = H_\Theta$, so that $B = BG$, and $E_2 = EG \times_G (G/H) \simeq BH$. Then the fibration $G/H \hookrightarrow E_2 \overset{\pi_2}{\longrightarrow} B$ becomes the Borel fibration $G/H \overset{\iota}{\hookrightarrow} BH \overset{\sigma}{\longrightarrow} BG$, and the commutative diagram (2.2) yields the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} BT & \overset{\pi=\rho(T,H)}{\longrightarrow} & BH \\ {\scriptstyle \rho=\rho(T,G)}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \sigma=\rho(H,G)} \\ BG & \overset{=}{\longrightarrow} & BG. \end{array} \tag{2.10}$$

Then by Theorem 2.3, we have

$$\rho^* \circ \tau(\sigma)^* = \sum_{\overline{w} \in W_G/W_H} w \circ \pi^*. \tag{2.11}$$

Combining (2.1) and (2.11), we have

$$\rho^* \circ \sigma_*(c^h_{\mathrm{top}}(T_\sigma) \cdot f) = \sum_{\overline{w} \in W_G/W_H} w \cdot \pi^*(f) \quad \text{for } f \in h^*(BH). \tag{2.12}$$

On the other hand, pulling back the tangent bundle along the fibers T_σ to BT via tha map $\pi = \rho(T, H)$, we have from (2.9), (2.5), and (2.8),

$$\pi^*(T_\sigma) \cong ET \times_T (\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}/\mathfrak{p}) \cong ET \times_T \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Delta^+ \setminus \Delta_H^+} \mathfrak{g}_{-\alpha} \cong \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Delta^+ \setminus \Delta_H^+} L_{-\alpha}.$$

Therefore the h^*-theory top Chern class (Euler class) of $\pi^*(T_\sigma)$ is given by

$$\pi^* c^h_{\mathrm{top}}(T_\sigma) = c^h_{\mathrm{top}} \left(\bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Delta^+ \setminus \Delta_H^+} L_{-\alpha} \right) = \prod_{\alpha \in \Delta^+ \setminus \Delta_H^+} c_1^h(L_{-\alpha}). \tag{2.13}$$

Then by the analogous argument to that of Bressler-Evens [10, Theorem 1.8], (2.13), and the formula (2.12), one obtains the following (Note that by the commutativity of the diagram (2.10), one has $\rho^* \circ \sigma_* = \pi^* \circ \sigma^* \circ \sigma_*$):

Corollary 2.6. *For $f \in h^*(BH)$, we have*

$$\pi^* \circ \sigma^* \circ \sigma_*(f) = \sum_{\overline{w} \in W_G/W_H} w \cdot \left[\frac{\pi^*(f)}{\prod_{\alpha \in \Delta^+ \setminus \Delta_H^+} c_1^h(L_{-\alpha})} \right]. \tag{2.14}$$

2.6. **Various Gysin formulas.** As mentioned in the introduction, various types of *Gysin formulas* related to the Gysin maps are known (see e.g., Akyildiz [2], Akyildiz-Carrell [4], Buch [14], Damon [16], [17], Darondeau-Pragacz [18], Fel'dman [22], Fulton [23], Fulton-Pragacz [25], Harris-Tu [27], Ilori [35], Jozefiak-Lascoux-Pragacz [37], Kajimoto [39], Quillen [62], Pragacz [58], [60], [61], Sugawara [65], Tu [68], [69]). In this subsection, we shall take up typical examples of these formulas.

2.6.1. *Gysin formulas of type "$G_{\mathbb{C}}/B \longrightarrow G_{\mathbb{C}}/P$".* First recall the result due to Akyildiz-Carrell [4]. In order to state their result, we shall use the same notation as in §2.5 with a slightly minor change. So Let $G_{\mathbb{C}} \supset B \supset T_{\mathbb{C}}$ be as in §2.5. Consider the parabolic subgroup $P = P_\Theta$ corresponding to a subset $\Theta \subset \Pi = \{\text{simple roots}\} \subset \Delta^+$. Thus the homogeneous variety $G_{\mathbb{C}}/P$ is a partial flag variety. Denote by W_Θ (resp. Δ_Θ) the Weyl group (resp. root system) corresponding to P_Θ. Let $\chi \in \hat{T}_{\mathbb{C}} = \mathrm{Hom}\,(T_{\mathbb{C}}, \mathbb{C}^*)$ be a character. By composing the natural projection[16] $B \twoheadrightarrow T_{\mathbb{C}}$ with $\chi : T_{\mathbb{C}} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$, we have a character $\chi_B = \chi : B \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$. Then one can define a complex line bundle M_χ over $G_{\mathbb{C}}/B$ in the usual manner. By assigning each character $\chi \in \hat{T}_{\mathbb{C}}$ the first Chern class $c_1(M_\chi) \in H^2(G_{\mathbb{C}}/B; \mathbb{C})$, the *characteristic homomorphism*[17]

$$c : R := \mathrm{Sym}\,(\hat{T}_{\mathbb{C}}) \to H^*(G_{\mathbb{C}}/B; \mathbb{C})$$

is defined. Here $\mathrm{Sym}\,(\hat{T}_{\mathbb{C}})$ means the symmetric algebra of $\hat{T}_{\mathbb{C}}$ over $\mathbb{C}$. Let $\pi : G_{\mathbb{C}}/B \longrightarrow G_{\mathbb{C}}/P$ be the natural projection. Then Akyildiz-Carrell showed the following formula (see also Brion [12]):

Theorem 2.7 (Akyildiz-Carrell [4], Theorem 1; Brion [12], Proposition 1.1)**.** *The Gysin homomorphism $\pi_* : H^*(G_{\mathbb{C}}/B; \mathbb{C}) \longrightarrow H^*(G_{\mathbb{C}}/P; \mathbb{C})$ is given by*

$$\pi^* \circ \pi_*\,(c(f)) = c\left(\sum_{w \in W_\Theta} \frac{\det(w)\; w \cdot f}{\prod_{\alpha \in \Delta_\Theta^+} \alpha} \right) \quad \textit{for } f \in R. \tag{2.15}$$

Here $\det(w)$ means $(-1)^{\ell(w)}$, where $\ell(w)$ denotes the length of the Weyl group element w. Since $w \cdot \prod_{\alpha \in \Delta_\Theta^+} \alpha = (-1)^{\ell(w)} \prod_{\alpha \in \Delta_\Theta^+} \alpha$ for any $w \in W_\Theta$, the above formula (2.15) can also be written as follows:

$$\pi^* \circ \pi_*\,(c(f)) = c\left(\sum_{w \in W_\Theta} w \cdot \left[\frac{f}{\prod_{\alpha \in \Delta_\Theta^+} \alpha} \right] \right) \quad \text{for } f \in R.$$

[16] Recall that B is the semi-direct product of $T_{\mathbb{C}}$ and its unipotent part.

[17] In topology, it is customary that the character group $\hat{T}_{\mathbb{C}} \cong \mathrm{Hom}\,(T, U(1))$ is identified with $H^1(T) \cong H^2(BT)$ (this latter identification is given by the *negative transgression* (see Borel-Hirzebruch [7, §10.1])). Under this identification, one has the isomorphism $\mathrm{Sym}\,(\hat{T}_{\mathbb{C}}) \cong H^*(BT; \mathbb{C})$ as algebras, and the characteristic homomorphism c can be identified with the induced homomorphism $\iota^* : H^*(BT; \mathbb{C}) \longrightarrow H^*(G/T; \mathbb{C})$.

Akyildiz-Carrell proved this formula by the method based on the zeros of holomorphic vector fields on relevant flag varieties. Brion proved this formula by the Weyl character formula and Grothendieck-Riemann-Roch theorem.

2.6.2. *Gysin formulas of type "$\mathcal{F}\ell(E) \longrightarrow X$".* Next recall the result due to Fulton-Pragacz [25, Chapter IV]. Let $E \xrightarrow{p} X$ be a complex vector bundle of rank n over a *variety*.[18] Denote by $\tau = \tau_E : \mathcal{F}\ell(E) \longrightarrow X$ the associated flag bundle parametrizing successive flags of *quotients* of E of ranks $n-1, \ldots, 2, 1$. Thus we have the *tautological sequence of flag of quotient bundles*

$$\tau^* E = Q^n \longrightarrow\!\!\!\!\!\rightarrow Q^{n-1} \longrightarrow\!\!\!\!\!\rightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow\!\!\!\!\!\rightarrow Q^2 \longrightarrow\!\!\!\!\!\rightarrow Q^1 \longrightarrow\!\!\!\!\!\rightarrow Q^0 = 0,$$

where $\operatorname{rank}(Q^i) = i$ $(i = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, n)$.[19] Define the line bundles $L^i := \operatorname{Ker}(Q^i \longrightarrow\!\!\!\!\!\rightarrow Q^{i-1})$ $(i = 1, 2, \ldots, n)$ over $\mathcal{F}\ell(E)$. Put $x_i := c_1(L^i) \in H^2(\mathcal{F}\ell(E))$ $(i = 1, 2, \ldots, n)$ (the *Chern roots* of E). Then Fulton-Pragacz showed the following formula:

Theorem 2.8 (Pragacz [58], Lemma 2.4; [60], Proposition 4.3 (ii); Fulton-Pragacz [25], p.41)**.** *For a polynomial $f(X_1, \ldots, X_n) \in H^*(X)[X_1, \ldots, X_n]$, we have*

$$\tau^* \circ \tau_*(f(x_1, \ldots, x_n)) = \sum_{w \in S_n} w \cdot \left[\frac{f(x_1, \ldots, x_n)}{\prod_{1 \le i < j \le n}(x_i - x_j)} \right].$$

Thus the Gysin map τ_* is given by a certain *symmetrizing operator* called the *Jacobi symmetrizer* in Fulton-Pragacz [25, §4.1]. As is well-known, the flag bundle $\mathcal{F}\ell(E)$ can be constructed as a sequence of projective bundles, and Fulton-Pragacz proved this formula by the induction on the rank of E.

2.6.3. *Application of the Bressler-Evens formula.* Most of these Gysin formulas are formulated in the ordinary cohomology rings or Chow rings, and proved by many different ways. We remark that the Bressler-Evens formulas (Theorem 2.5 and Corollary 2.6) enable us to show these Gysin formulas by a unified manner.

For Theorem 2.7, one can argue as follows: Put $H = G \cap P$ as in §2.5. Then by the *classification theorem of principal bundles*, we have a *classifying map* $h : G/H \longrightarrow BH$ and its lift $\tilde{h} : G/T \longrightarrow BT$, and the following diagram is commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} G_{\mathbb{C}}/B \cong G/T & \xrightarrow{\tilde{h} \simeq \iota} & BT \\ \pi \big\downarrow & & \big\downarrow \rho = \rho(T,H) \\ G_{\mathbb{C}}/P \cong G/H & \xrightarrow{h \simeq \iota_H} & BH. \end{array}$$

Note that the above classifying map h (resp. $\tilde{h}$) coincides with the fiber inclusion $\iota_H : G/H \hookrightarrow BH$ (resp. $\iota : G/T \hookrightarrow BT$) up to homotopy. Then by Theorem 2.5

[18] Actually it is enough to assume that the base space is some *nice space*, say, a *paracompact space*, so that the classification theorem of vector bundles holds.

[19] Here we followed the convention as in e.g., Pragacz [58, §2]. On $\mathcal{F}\ell(E)$, we also have the *tautological sequence of flag of subbundles*

$$0 = S_0 \subset S_1 \subset S_2 \subset \cdots \subset S_{n-1} \subset S_n = \tau^* E,$$

where $\operatorname{rank}(S_i) = i$ $(i = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, n)$. These two tautological sequences are related by $Q^i = \tau^* E / S_{n-i}$. Therefore if we define the line bundles $L_i := S_i / S_{i-1}$ $(i = 1, 2, \ldots, n)$, then we have $L^i = L_{n+1-i}$ $(i = 1, 2, \ldots, n)$.

and the base-change property of Gysin maps, we compute

$$\begin{aligned}\pi^* \circ \pi_* \left(c(f)\right) &= \pi^* \circ \pi_* \circ \iota^*(f) = \pi^* \circ \iota_H^* \circ \rho_*(f) = \iota^* \circ \rho^* \circ \rho_*(f) \\ &= \iota^* \left(\sum_{w \in W_\Theta} w \cdot \left[\frac{f}{\prod_{\alpha \in \Delta_\Theta^+} c_1(L_{-\alpha})} \right] \right) \\ &= c \left(\sum_{w \in W_\Theta} w \cdot \left[\frac{f}{\prod_{\alpha \in \Delta_\Theta^+} \alpha} \right] \right),\end{aligned}$$

as required. Here we used the convention that $c_1(L_\alpha) = -\alpha$ for a root $\alpha \in \Delta$.

For Theorem 2.8, one can argue as follows: By the *classification theorem of complex vector bundles*, we have the *classifying map* $h : X \longrightarrow BU(n)$, and its lift $\tilde{h} : \mathcal{F}\ell(E) \longrightarrow BT^n$, and the following diagram is commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{F}\ell(E) & \xrightarrow{\tilde{h}} & BT^n \\ {\scriptstyle \tau}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \rho} \\ X & \xrightarrow{h} & BU(n). \end{array}$$

Let $\chi_i : T^n \longrightarrow U(1)$ be the character which takes an element $t = \mathrm{diag}\,(t_1, \ldots, t_n) \in T^n$ to the i-th entry $t_i \in U(1)$ $(i = 1, 2, \ldots, n)$. The line bundles L_{χ_i} over BT^n can be constructed as in §2.5. Put $y_i := -c_1(L_{\chi_i}) = c_1((L_{\chi_i})^\vee) \in H^2(BT^n)$ $(i = 1, 2, \ldots, n)$ (notice our convention). Then the positive root system for $G = U(n)$ is given by $\Delta^+ = \{y_i - y_j \ (1 \leq i < j \leq n)\}$ as a subset of $H^2(BT^n)$. The Weyl group $W_{U(n)}$ of $U(n)$ can be identified with the symmetric group S_n by the usual manner. Let $\gamma^n \longrightarrow BU(n)$ be the *universal* or *canonical* vector bundle over $BU(n)$ (see Milnor-Stasheff [53, §14, p.161]). Then the associated flag bundle $\mathcal{F}\ell(\gamma^n) \longrightarrow BU(n)$ can be identified with the Borel fibration $BT^n \xrightarrow{\rho} BU(n)$. As noted in §2.6.2, there is the tautological sequence of flag of subbundles $S_0 \subset S_1 \subset S_2 \subset \cdots \subset S_{n-1} \subset S_n = \rho^*(\gamma^n)$ over BT^n. The usual line bundles L_i $(i = 1, 2, \ldots, n)$ over BT^n are defined by $L_i := S_i / S_{i-1}$ $(i = 1, 2, \ldots, n)$. Then it is easily verified that the line bundle L_i can be identified with the line bundle L_{χ_i}. Therefore as for the Chern roots of E, we have

$$x_i = c_1(L^i) = c_1(L_{n+1-i}) = c_1(\tilde{h}^*(L_{n+1-i})) = \tilde{h}^*(c_1(L_{n+1-i})) = \tilde{h}^*(-y_{n+1-i}).$$

Then by Theorem 2.5 and the base-change property of Gysin maps, we compute[20]

$$\begin{aligned}\tau^* \circ \tau_*(f(x_1, \ldots, x_n)) &= \tau^* \circ \tau_* \circ \tilde{h}^*(f(-y_n, \ldots, -y_1)) \\ &= \tilde{h}^* \circ \rho^* \circ \rho_*(f(-y_n, \ldots, -y_1)) \\ &= \tilde{h}^* \left(\sum_{w \in W_{U(n)}} w \cdot \left[\frac{f(-y_n, \ldots, -y_1)}{\prod_{\alpha \in \Delta^+} c_1(L_{-\alpha})} \right] \right) \\ &= \tilde{h}^* \left(\sum_{w \in S_n} w \cdot \left[\frac{f(-y_n, \ldots, -y_1)}{\prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (y_i - y_j)} \right] \right) \\ &= \sum_{w \in S_n} w \cdot \left[\frac{f(x_1, \ldots, x_n)}{\prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (x_i - x_j)} \right],\end{aligned}$$

as required.

[20] We also used the well-known fact that the map $\tilde{h}^* : H^*(BT^n) \longrightarrow\!\!\!\!\!\rightarrow H^*(\mathcal{F}\ell(E))$ is surjective.

2.6.4. *Thom-Porteous formula.* Finally, we briefly review the *Thom-Porteous formula* (see Porteous [57, p.298, Proposition 1.3]) as an application of Gysin formulas. Here we adopt the formulation as in Fulton [23, §14.4], Fulton-Pragacz [25, §2.1], Pragacz [58], [60] which is slightly different from Porteous' original one. Let $E \xrightarrow{p_E} X$ and $F \xrightarrow{p_F} X$ be complex vector bundles of ranks e and f on a variety X. Let $\varphi : E \longrightarrow F$ be a vector bundle homomorphism. For each point x, denote by $\varphi_x : E_x = p_E^{-1}(x) \longrightarrow F_x = p_F^{-1}(x)$ the linear map on the fiber. Then we set

$$D_r(\varphi) := \{x \in X \mid \operatorname{rank} \varphi_x \leq r\} \subset X,$$

which is called the rth *degeneracy locus* of φ ($r = 0, 1, \ldots, \min(e, f)$). It is known that if the map φ is *sufficiently generic*, the subvariety $D_r(\varphi)$ has codimension $(e-r)(f-r)$, and defines a cohomology class $[D_r(\varphi)] \in H^{2(e-r)(f-r)}(X)$. Then Thom [67] observed that there must be a polynomial in the Chern classes of E and F which is equal to $[D_r(\varphi)]$. Thom posed a problem to find such a polynomial, and later Porteous gave the answer (see Fulton-Pragacz [25, Chapter II, (2.1), (2.5)], Pragacz [58, p.414]):

$$[D_r(\varphi)] = \det\left(c_{f-r-i+j}(F - E)\right)_{1 \leq i,j \leq e-r}. \tag{2.16}$$

Here $c(F - E)$ is defined to be $c(F)/c(E)$. Notice that the right-hand side of (2.16) is equal to the *relative* version of the Schur polynomial $s_{((e-r)^{(f-r)})}(F - E)$, where $((e-r)^{(f-r)})$ is a *rectangular partition* with $(f-r)$ rows and $(e-r)$ columns (for the notation, see Fulton-Pragacz [25, §3.2]), and the above formula becomes as follows:

$$[D_r(\varphi)] = s_{((e-r)^{(f-r)})}(F - E). \tag{2.17}$$

We shall give an outline of the proof of the above formula for reader's convenience: Let $\pi_F : G^{f-r}(F) \longrightarrow X$ be the Grassmann bundle parametrizing rank $(f-r)$ quotient bundles of F. On $G^{f-r}(F)$, we have the tautological exact sequence of vector bundles:

$$0 \longrightarrow S_F \hookrightarrow \pi_F^*(F) \twoheadrightarrow Q_F \longrightarrow 0.$$

Then the vector bundle homomorphism $\pi_F^*(E) \xrightarrow{\pi_F^*\varphi} \pi_F^*(F) \twoheadrightarrow Q_F$ over $G^{f-r}(F)$ gives a cross-section $s_\varphi \in \Gamma(\operatorname{Hom}(\pi_F^*(E), Q_F)) \cong \Gamma(\pi_F^*(E)^\vee \otimes Q_F)$. Denote by $Z(s_\varphi) \subset G^{f-r}(F)$ the zero locus of φ. Then for an element $W \in G^{f-r}(F)$ with $\pi_F(W) = x \in X$, one sees immediately that $W \in Z(s_\varphi)$ implies $\operatorname{Im} \varphi_x \subset W$, and hence $\operatorname{rank} \varphi_x \leq \dim W = r$. Thus we have $x \in D_r(\varphi)$. From this, the set $Z(s_\varphi)$ maps onto $D_r(\varphi)$. Then under appropriate conditions, the class $[Z(s_\varphi)]$ is given by the top Chern class $c_{e(f-r)}(\pi_F^*(E)^\vee \otimes Q_F)$. Therefore we have the following formula:

$$\pi_{F*}(c_{e(f-r)}(\pi_F^*(E)^\vee \otimes Q_F)) = [D_r(\varphi)],$$

and we have to compute the left-hand side of the above equation. This can be done by making use of Gysin formulas. Let $x_1, \ldots, x_f$ (resp. $a_1, \ldots, a_e$) be the Chern roots of F (resp. E) as in §2.6.2. The Chern roots of Q_F are $x_1, \ldots, x_{f-r}$. By the splitting principle, the top Chern class $c_{e(f-r)}(\pi_F^*(E)^\vee \otimes Q_F)$ is given by the product $\prod_{i=1}^{f-r} \prod_{j=1}^{e} (x_i - a_j)$. On the other hand, by a similar argument as in the previous subsection §2.6.2, the Gysin map $\pi_{F*} : H^*(G^{f-r}(F)) \longrightarrow H^*(X)$ is described by the following symmetrizing operator (see also Pragacz [58, Lemma 2.5], [60, Proposition 4.2]):

$$\pi_{F*}(g(x_1, \ldots, x_f)) = \sum_{\overline{w} \in S_f / S_{f-r} \times S_r} w \cdot \left[\frac{g(x_1, \ldots, x_f)}{\prod_{1 \leq i \leq f-r} \prod_{f-r+1 \leq j \leq f} (x_i - x_j)} \right]$$

for a polynomial $g(X_1,\dots,X_f)\in H^*(X)[X_1,\dots,X_f]^{S_{f-r}\times S_r}$. From this description, one can compute $\pi_{F*}(\prod_{i=1}^{f-r}\prod_{j=1}^{e}(x_i-a_j))$, and obtain the formula (2.17).[21] We remark that the K-theoretic analogue of this formula is also given by Buch [14, Theorem 2.3]. In §5.4, we shall generalize the Thom-Porteous formula for cohomology to the complex cobordism theory.

3. Universal Hall-Littlewood functions

As mentioned in the introduction (see also Example 2.2), Quillen [62] showed that the complex cobordism theory $MU^*(-)$ (with the associated formal group law F_{MU}) has the following *universal* property: for any complex-oriented cohomology theory $h^*(-)$ (with the associated formal group law F_h), there exists a homomorphism of rings $\theta: MU^*\longrightarrow h^*$ such that $F_h(X,Y)=(\theta_*F_{MU})(X,Y)=X+Y+\sum_{i,j\geq 1}\theta(a_{i,j}^{MU})X^iY^j$. Thus it will be sufficient to consider the case when $h=MU$, for general case follows immediately from the universal one by the specialization $a_{i,j}^{MU}\longmapsto\theta(a_{i,j}^{MU})$ $(i,j\geq 1)$. Recall that, by Quillen again, the coefficient ring $MU_*=MU^{-*}$ is isomorphic to the Lazard ring $\mathbb{L}$. In our previous paper [55], we introduced the *universal Schur* (S-) *functions* $s_\lambda^{\mathbb{L}}(\mathbf{x}_n)$ for λ partitions, and the *universal Schur P- and Q-functions* $P_\nu^{\mathbb{L}}(\mathbf{x}_n)$, $Q_\nu^{\mathbb{L}}(\mathbf{x}_n)$ for ν strict partitions. In this section, we introduce the *universal Hall-Littlewood functions* $H_\lambda^{\mathbb{L}}(\mathbf{x}_n;t)$ which will be expected to interpolate the univeresal Schur S-functions and the universal Schur P-functions. Since these functions will be of independent interest in terms of, e.g., algebraic combinatorics, so apart from geometry, we shall deal with these functions purely algebraically, and slightly changes the notation concerning the formal group law in this section.

3.1. **Lazard ring $\mathbb{L}$ and the universal formal group law.** We begin with collecting the basic facts about the Lazard ring. We use the convention as in Levine-Morel's book [47]. In [44], Lazard considered a universal commutative formal group law of rank one $(\mathbb{L},F_{\mathbb{L}})$, where the ring $\mathbb{L}$, called the *Lazard ring*, is isomorphic to the polynomial ring in countably infinite number of variables with integer coefficients, and $F_{\mathbb{L}}=F_{\mathbb{L}}(u,v)$ is the *universal formal group law* (for a construction and basic properties of $\mathbb{L}$, see Levine-Morel [47, §1.1]):

$$F_{\mathbb{L}}(u,v)=u+v+\sum_{i,j\geq 1}a_{i,j}^{\mathbb{L}}u^iv^j\in\mathbb{L}[[u,v]].$$

This is a formal power series in u, v with coefficients $a_{i,j}^{\mathbb{L}}$ of formal variables which satisfies the axiom of the formal group law (see §2.1). For the universal formal group

[21] As in Ikeda-Naruse [32, §5.1], Molev-Sagan [54, §2], let us introduce the following notation (cf. §3.2): Set

$$(t|a)^k:=\prod_{i=1}^{k}(t-a_i)=(t-a_1)(t-a_2)\cdots(t-a_k)$$

for any integer $k\geq 0$ (Here $a=(a_1,\dots,a_e)=(a_1,\dots,a_e,0,0,\dots)$ is the Chern roots of E). Then one can rewrite

$$\prod_{i=1}^{f-r}\prod_{j=1}^{e}(x_i-a_j)=\prod_{i=1}^{f-r}(x_i|a)^e.$$

Then one computes $\pi_{F*}(\prod_{i=1}^{f-r}(x_i|a)^e)$ by the above symmetrizing operator description of π_{F*}, and obtains the *factorial Schur polynomial* $s_{((e-r)^{(f-r)})}(\mathbf{x}_f|a)$, which is equal to $s_{((e-r)^{(f-r)})}(F-E)$.

law, we shall use the notation (see Levine-Morel [47, §2.3.2])

$$\begin{aligned} u +_{\mathbb{L}} v &= F_{\mathbb{L}}(u,v) \qquad \text{(formal sum)},\\ \overline{u} &= [-1]_{\mathbb{L}}(u) = \chi_{\mathbb{L}}(u) \quad \text{(formal inverse of } u\text{)}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that $\overline{u} \in \mathbb{L}[[u]]$ is a formal power series in u with initial term $-u$, and first few terms appear in Levine-Morel [47, p.41]. The n-series $[n]_{F_{\mathbb{L}}}(u)$ introduced in §2.1.2 shall be denoted simply by $[n]_{\mathbb{L}}(u)$ in the sequel. In what follows, we regard $\mathbb{L}$ as a graded algebra over $\mathbb{Z}$, and the grading of $\mathbb{L}$ is given by $\deg(a^{\mathbb{L}}_{i,j}) = 1-i-j$ $(i,j \geq 1)$ (see Levine-Morel [47, p.5]). Be aware that in topology, it is customary to give $a^{\mathbb{L}}_{i,j}$ the *cohomological* degree $2(1-i-j)$.

3.2. **Universal factorial Schur S-, P-, and Q-functions.** In this subsection, we recall the definitions of the *universal factorial Schur S-, P-, and Q-functions* following Nakagawa-Naruse [55, §4]. Besides the variables $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2, \ldots)$, we prepare another set of variables $\mathbf{b} = (b_1, b_2, \ldots)$. We provide the variables $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2, \ldots)$ and $\mathbf{b} = (b_1, b_2, \ldots)$ with degree $\deg(x_i) = \deg(b_i) = 1$ for $i = 1, 2, \ldots$. In what follows, when considering polynomials or formal power series $f(x_1, x_2, \ldots)$ with coefficients in $\mathbb{L}$ (or $\mathbb{L}[[\mathbf{b}]]$), we shall call the degree with respect to $x_1, x_2, \ldots, b_1, b_2, \ldots$, and $a^{\mathbb{L}}_{i,j}$ $(i,j \geq 1)$ the *total* degree of $f(x_1, x_2, \ldots)$.

For an integer $k \geq 1$, we define a generalization of the ordinary k-th power t^k by

$$[t|\mathbf{b}]^k := \prod_{i=1}^{k} (t +_{\mathbb{L}} b_i) = (t +_{\mathbb{L}} b_1)(t +_{\mathbb{L}} b_2) \cdots (t +_{\mathbb{L}} b_k)$$

and its variant by

$$[[t|\mathbf{b}]]^k := (t +_{\mathbb{L}} t)[t|\mathbf{b}]^{k-1} = (t +_{\mathbb{L}} t)(t +_{\mathbb{L}} b_1)(t +_{\mathbb{L}} b_2) \cdots (t +_{\mathbb{L}} b_{k-1}),$$

where we set $[t|\mathbf{b}]^0 = [[t|\mathbf{b}]]^0 := 1$. For a partition,[22] i.e., a non-increasing sequence of non-negative integers $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_r)$ $(\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \cdots \geq \lambda_r \geq 0)$,[23] we set

$$[\mathbf{x}|\mathbf{b}]^{\lambda} := \prod_{i=1}^{r} [x_i|\mathbf{b}]^{\lambda_i} \quad \text{and} \quad [[\mathbf{x}|\mathbf{b}]]^{\lambda} := \prod_{i=1}^{r} [[x_i|\mathbf{b}]]^{\lambda_i}.$$

Let $\mathcal{P}_n$ denote the set of all partitions of length $\leq n$. For a positive integer n, we set $\rho_n = (n, n-1, \ldots, 2, 1)$. For partitions $\lambda, \mu \in \mathcal{P}_n$, $\lambda + \mu$ is a partition of length $\leq n$ defined by $(\lambda+\mu)_i := \lambda_i + \mu_i$ $(1 \leq i \leq n)$. With this notation, the *universal factorial Schur (S-) function* $s^{\mathbb{L}}_{\lambda}(\mathbf{x}_n|\mathbf{b}) = s^{\mathbb{L}}_{\lambda}(x_1, \ldots, x_n|\mathbf{b})$ corresponding to a partition $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n) \in \mathcal{P}_n$ is defined to be

$$s^{\mathbb{L}}_{\lambda}(\mathbf{x}_n|\mathbf{b}) = s^{\mathbb{L}}_{\lambda}(x_1, \ldots, x_n|\mathbf{b}) := \sum_{w \in S_n} w \cdot \left[\frac{[\mathbf{x}|\mathbf{b}]^{\lambda+\rho_{n-1}}}{\prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (x_i +_{\mathbb{L}} \overline{x}_j)} \right]. \tag{3.1}$$

We also define

$$s^{\mathbb{L}}_{\lambda}(\mathbf{x}_n) = s^{\mathbb{L}}_{\lambda}(x_1, \ldots, x_n) := s^{\mathbb{L}}_{\lambda}(x_1, \ldots, x_n|\mathbf{0}). \tag{3.2}$$

The function $s^{\mathbb{L}}_{\lambda}(\mathbf{x}_n)$ will be called just the *universal Schur function*.

[22] For notation and terminology on partitions which will be used throughout this paper, we mainly follow those in Macdonald's book [48, Chapter I].

[23] It is customary not to distinguish two partitions which differ only by a string of zeros at the end.

Remark 3.1. *The non-equivariant version $s^{\mathbb{L}}_{\lambda}(\mathbf{x}_n)$ is already defined by Fel'dman* [22, Definition 4.2]. *These are called the generalized Schur polynomials there. In that paper, the author also established a Gysin formula for these generalized Schur polynomials* (*see* [22, Theorem 4.5]).[24]

Since

$$x_i +_{\mathbb{L}} \overline{x}_j = (x_i - x_j)(1 + \text{higher degree terms in } x_i \text{ and } x_j \text{ with coefficients in } \mathbb{L}),$$

the function $s^{\mathbb{L}}_{\lambda}(\mathbf{x}_n|\mathbf{b})$ is a formal power series with coefficients in $\mathbb{L}$ in the variables $x_1, \ldots, x_n$ and $b_1, b_2, \ldots, b_{\lambda_1+n-1}$. It is also a homogeneous formal power series of total degree $|\lambda| = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i$, the size of λ. In (3.1), if we put $a^{\mathbb{L}}_{i,j} = 0$ for all $i, j \geq 1$ and $b_i = -a_i$ $(i = 1, 2, \ldots)$, where $a = (a_1, a_2, \ldots,)$ is another sequence of parameters, the functions $s^{\mathbb{L}}_{\lambda}(\mathbf{x}_n|\mathbf{b})$ reduce to the factorial Schur polynomials usually denoted by $s_{\lambda}(\mathbf{x}_n|a)$ (for its definition, see Ikeda-Naruse [32, §5.1], Macdonald [48, I, §3, Examples 20], Molev-Sagan [54, p.4431]). If we put $a^{\mathbb{L}}_{1,1} = \beta$ and $a^{\mathbb{L}}_{i,j} = 0$ for all $(i, j) \neq (1, 1)$,[25] then $s^{\mathbb{L}}_{\lambda}(\mathbf{x}_n|\mathbf{b})$ reduce to the factorial Grothendieck polynomials $G_{\lambda}(\mathbf{x}_n|\mathbf{b})$ (for its definition, see Ikeda-Naruse [34, (2.12), (2.13)], McNamara [51, Definition 4.1]). Thus our functions $s^{\mathbb{L}}_{\lambda}(\mathbf{x}_n|\mathbf{b})$ are generalizations of these polynomials and hence *universal* in this sense. Note that unlike the usual factorial Schur and Grothendieck polynomials, the function $s^{\mathbb{L}}_{\emptyset}(\mathbf{x}_n|\mathbf{b})$ corresponding to the empty partition $\emptyset = (0^n)$ is not equal to 1. For instance, we have

$$s^{\mathbb{L}}_{\emptyset}(\mathbf{x}_2|\mathbf{b}) = \frac{x_1 +_{\mathbb{L}} b_1}{x_1 +_{\mathbb{L}} \overline{x}_2} + \frac{x_2 +_{\mathbb{L}} b_1}{x_2 +_{\mathbb{L}} \overline{x}_1} = 1 + a^{\mathbb{L}}_{1,2} x_1 x_2 + a^{\mathbb{L}}_{1,1} a^{\mathbb{L}}_{1,2} b_1 x_1 x_2 + \cdots \neq 1.$$

As mentioned in Remark 3.1, in order to formulate Fel'dman's Gysin formula for the universal Schur functions, we need to extend the above definition to arbitrary sequences of non-negative integers. Thus, for a sequence $I = (I_1, I_2, \ldots, I_n)$ of non-negative integers, we define $s^{\mathbb{L}}_{I}(\mathbf{x}_n)$ to be

$$s^{\mathbb{L}}_{I}(\mathbf{x}_n) := \sum_{w \in S_n} w \cdot \left[\frac{\mathbf{x}^{I+\rho_{n-1}}}{\prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (x_i +_{\mathbb{L}} \overline{x}_j)} \right]. \tag{3.3}$$

Next let us recall the definition of the universal factorial Schur P- and Q-functions. Denote by $\mathcal{SP}_n$ the set of all strict partitions of length $\leq n$, i.e., a sequence of positive integers $\nu = (\nu_1, \ldots, \nu_k)$ with $k \leq n$ such that $\nu_1 > \cdots > \nu_k > 0$. Then, for a strict partition $\nu = (\nu_1, \ldots, \nu_k) \in \mathcal{SP}_n$, the *unviersal factorial Schur P- and Q-functions* are defined to be

(3.4)

$$P^{\mathbb{L}}_{\nu}(\mathbf{x}_n|\mathbf{b}) = P^{\mathbb{L}}_{\nu}(x_1, \ldots, x_n|\mathbf{b}) := \frac{1}{(n-k)!} \sum_{w \in S_n} w \cdot \left[[\mathbf{x}|\mathbf{b}]^{\nu} \prod_{i=1}^{k} \prod_{j=i+1}^{n} \frac{x_i +_{\mathbb{L}} x_j}{x_i +_{\mathbb{L}} \overline{x}_j} \right],$$

$$Q^{\mathbb{L}}_{\nu}(\mathbf{x}_n|\mathbf{b}) = Q^{\mathbb{L}}_{\nu}(x_1, \ldots, x_n|\mathbf{b}) := \frac{1}{(n-k)!} \sum_{w \in S_n} w \cdot \left[[[\mathbf{x}|\mathbf{b}]]^{\nu} \prod_{i=1}^{k} \prod_{j=i+1}^{n} \frac{x_i +_{\mathbb{L}} x_j}{x_i +_{\mathbb{L}} \overline{x}_j} \right],$$

where the symmetric group S_n acts only on the x-variables $x_1, \ldots, x_n$ by permutations.

[24] Fel'dman's formula is a generalization of Fulton-Pragacz' formula [25, (4.2)] (see also (4.2) in this paper) to the complex cobordism theory as well as to general partial flag bundles . However, we think that his formula should be modified correctly. In the later section §4.2.2, we shall establish a Gysin formula for the universal Schur functions, thus correcting Fel'dman's formula.

[25] Notice that the sign convention of β is opposite from the one given in Example 2.2. In the rest of this paper, we shall use this sign convention that fits in with the listed references here.

We also define

$$\begin{aligned} P^{\mathbb{L}}_{\nu}(\mathbf{x}_n) &= P^{\mathbb{L}}_{\nu}(x_1,\ldots,x_n) := P^{\mathbb{L}}_{\nu}(x_1,\ldots,x_n|\mathbf{0}),\\ Q^{\mathbb{L}}_{\nu}(\mathbf{x}_n) &= Q^{\mathbb{L}}_{\nu}(x_1,\ldots,x_n) := Q^{\mathbb{L}}_{\nu}(x_1,\ldots,x_n|\mathbf{0}). \end{aligned}$$

The functions $P^{\mathbb{L}}_{\nu}(\mathbf{x}_n|\mathbf{b})$ and $Q^{\mathbb{L}}_{\nu}(\mathbf{x}_n|\mathbf{b})$ are formal power series with coefficients in $\mathbb{L}$ in the variables $x_1,\ldots,x_n$ and $b_1,b_2,\ldots,b_{\nu_1}$ for $P^{\mathbb{L}}_{\nu}$ (resp. $b_1,b_2\ldots,b_{\nu_1-1}$ for $Q^{\mathbb{L}}_{\nu}$). These are homogeneous formal power series of total degree $|\nu|$. In (3.4), if we put $a^{\mathbb{L}}_{i,j}=0$ for all $i,j\geq 1$ and $b_i=-a_i$ $(i=1,2,\ldots)$, the functions $P^{\mathbb{L}}_{\nu}(\mathbf{x}_n|\mathbf{b})$, $Q^{\mathbb{L}}_{\nu}(\mathbf{x}_n|\mathbf{b})$ reduce to the *factorial Schur P- and Q-polynomials* $P_\nu(\mathbf{x}_n|a)$, $Q_\nu(\mathbf{x}_n|a)$ (for their definitions, see Ikeda-Mihalcea-Naruse [33, §4.2]), Ikeda-Naruse [32, Definition 8.1], Ivanov [36, Definitions 2.10 and 2.13]). If we put $a^{\mathbb{L}}_{1,1}=\beta$ and $a^{\mathbb{L}}_{i,j}=0$ for all $(i,j)\neq(1,1)$, then $P^{\mathbb{L}}_{\nu}(\mathbf{x}_n|\mathbf{b})$, $Q^{\mathbb{L}}_{\nu}(\mathbf{x}_n|\mathbf{b})$ reduce to the *K-theoretic factorial Schur P- and Q-polynomials* $GP_\nu(\mathbf{x}_n|\mathbf{b})$, $GQ_\nu(\mathbf{x}_n|\mathbf{b})$ due to Ikeda-Naruse [34, Definition 2.1]. Thus our functions $P^{\mathbb{L}}_{\nu}(\mathbf{x}_n|\mathbf{b})$, $Q^{\mathbb{L}}_{\nu}(\mathbf{x}_n|\mathbf{b})$ are generalizations of these polynomials and hence *universal* in this sense.

3.3. **Universal Hall-Littlewood functions.** In this subsection, we introduce the *universal Hall-Littlewood functions* which interpolate the S-functions and the P-functions. We use the notation as in Pragacz [61]. Let $\lambda=(\lambda_1,\ldots,\lambda_n)\in\mathcal{P}_n$ be a partition of length $\ell(\lambda)\leq n$. Consider the maximal "intervals" $I_1,I_2,\ldots,I_d$ in $[n]:=\{1,2,\ldots,n\}$, where the sequence λ is "constant". Thus we have a decomposition

$$[n]=I_1\sqcup I_2\sqcup\cdots\sqcup I_d \quad \text{(disjoint union)}.$$

Here and in what follows, we keep the following convention: when we refer to such a decomposition, we always arrange "intervals" $I_1,I_2,\ldots$ in increasing order, that is, $\max I_r<\min I_{r+1}$ for each r. Let m_r be the "length" of the interval I_r for $r=1,2,\ldots,d$, namely the cardinality of I_r so that $\sum_{r=1}^{d}m_r=n$. We write $n(i)$ the number of the interval containing i for $i\in[n]$, namely if i is in I_r, then $n(i)=r$. Notice that, since $\lambda=(\lambda_1,\ldots,\lambda_n)$ is a partition, $n(i)<n(j)$ is equivalent to $\lambda_i>\lambda_j$ for $i,j\in[n]$. We define a subgroup S_n^λ of S_n as the stabillizer of λ. Thus

$$S_n^\lambda=\prod_{i=1}^{d}S_{m_i}=S_{m_1}\times S_{m_2}\times\cdots\times S_{m_d}.$$

Denote by $\ell_{\mathbb{L}}(x)\in\mathbb{L}[[x]]$ the *logarithm*[26] of $F_{\mathbb{L}}$, i.e., a unique formal power series with leading term x such that

$$\ell_{\mathbb{L}}(a+_{\mathbb{L}}b)=\ell_{\mathbb{L}}(a)+\ell_{\mathbb{L}}(b).$$

Using the logarithm $\ell_{\mathbb{L}}(x)$, one can rewrite the n-series $[n]_{\mathbb{L}}(x)$ for a non-negative integer n, aforementioned in §3.1, as

$$\ell_{\mathbb{L}}([n]_{\mathbb{L}}(x))=\ell_{\mathbb{L}}(\underbrace{x+_{\mathbb{L}}+\cdots+_{\mathbb{L}}x}_{n})=\underbrace{\ell_{\mathbb{L}}(x)+\cdots+\ell_{\mathbb{L}}(x)}_{n}=n\cdot\ell_{\mathbb{L}}(x),$$

[26] See e.g., Kono-Tamaki [43, Lemma 6.27], Levine-Morel [47, Lemma 4.1.29], Quillen [62], Ravenel [64, Appendix A2]. If we put $a^{\mathbb{L}}_{i,j}=0$ for all $i,j\geq1$, then $F_{\mathbb{L}}(u,v)$ reduces to the additive formal group law $F_a(u,v)=u+v$, and both $\ell_{\mathbb{L}}(x)$ and $\ell_{\mathbb{L}}^{-1}(x)$ reduce to x. If we put $a^{\mathbb{L}}_{1,1}=\beta$ and $a^{\mathbb{L}}_{i,j}=0$ for all $(i,j)\neq(1,1)$, then $F_{\mathbb{L}}(u,v)$ reduces to the multiplicative formal group law $F_m(u,v)=u+v+\beta uv$. In this case, $\ell_{\mathbb{L}}(x)$ reduces to $\beta^{-1}\log(1+\beta x)=\sum_{i=0}^{\infty}\frac{(-\beta)^i}{i+1}x^{i+1}$, and $\ell_{\mathbb{L}}^{-1}(x)$ reduces to $\beta^{-1}(e^{\beta x}-1)=\sum_{i=0}^{\infty}\frac{\beta^i}{(i+1)!}x^{i+1}$.

in other words, $[n]_{\mathbb{L}}(x) = \ell_{\mathbb{L}}^{-1}(n \cdot \ell_{\mathbb{L}}(x))$. This formula allows us to define

$$[t]_{\mathbb{L}}(x) := \ell_{\mathbb{L}}^{-1}(t \cdot \ell_{\mathbb{L}}(x))$$

for an indeterminate t. This is a natural extension of $t \cdot x$ as well as the n-series $[n]_{\mathbb{L}}(x)$.[27]

Definition 3.2 (Universal Hall-Littlewood function)**.** *With the above notation, for a partition $\lambda \in \mathcal{P}_n$, we define*

$$H_\lambda^{\mathbb{L}}(\mathbf{x}_n; t) := \sum_{\overline{w} \in S_n/S_n^\lambda} w \cdot \left[\mathbf{x}^\lambda \prod_{1 \le i < j \le n,\, n(i) < n(j)} \frac{x_i +_{\mathbb{L}} [t]_{\mathbb{L}}(\overline{x}_j)}{x_i +_{\mathbb{L}} \overline{x}_j} \right]. \tag{3.5}$$

If we put $a_{i,j}^{\mathbb{L}} = 0$ for all $i, j \ge 1$, the functions $H_\lambda^{\mathbb{L}}(\mathbf{x}_n; t)$ reduce to the usual Hall-Littlewood polynomials denoted by $P_\lambda(x_1, \dots, x_n; t)$ in Macdonald's book [48, Chapter III, §2, (2.2)]. For the usual Hall-Littlewood polynomial $P_\lambda(x_1, \dots, x_n; t)$, it is known that

$$P_\lambda(x_1, \dots, x_n; 0) = s_\lambda(x_1, \dots, x_n)$$

under the specialization $t = 0$ (see Macdonald [48, Chapter III, §2, (2.3)]), and

$$P_\lambda(x_1, \dots, x_n; 1) = m_\lambda(x_1, \dots, x_n),$$

under the specialization $t = 1$ (see Macdonald [48, Chapter III, §2, (2.4)]). Here m_λ denotes the monomial symmetric polynomial corresponding to λ. Moreover, for a strict partition ν of length $\ell(\nu) \le n$, one obtains that

$$P_\nu(x_1, \dots, x_n; -1) = P_\nu(x_1, \dots, x_n) \tag{3.6}$$

under the specialization $t = -1$ (see Macdonald [48, Chapter III, §8, Examples 1.]).

For the universal Hall-Littlewood functions $H_\lambda^{\mathbb{L}}(\mathbf{x}_n; t)$, it follows immediately from (3.5) that $H_\lambda^{\mathbb{L}}(\mathbf{x}_n; 1) = m_\lambda(\mathbf{x}_n)$ under the specialization $t = 1$. Let us next consider the specialization $t = -1$. Let $\nu = (\nu_1, \dots, \nu_k) \in \mathcal{SP}_n$ be a strict partition with length $\ell(\nu) = k \le n$. Then we have a decomposition $[n] = I_1 \sqcup \cdots \sqcup I_k \sqcup I_{k+1}$, where $I_r = \{r\}$ $(r = 1, \dots, k)$ and $I_{k+1} = \{k+1, \dots, n\}$. Therefore we have $m_r = 1$ $(r = 1, \dots, k)$, $m_{k+1} = n - k$ and $n(i) = i$ $(i = 1, \dots, k)$, $n(i) = k+1$ $(i = k+1, \dots, n)$. The stabilizer of ν is given by $S_n^\nu = (S_1)^k \times S_{n-k}$. Therefore it follows from Definition 3.2 and (3.4), we have

$$\begin{aligned} H_\nu^{\mathbb{L}}(\mathbf{x}_n; -1) &= \sum_{\overline{w} \in S_n/(S_1)^k \times S_{n-k}} w \cdot \left[\mathbf{x}^\nu \prod_{1 \le i < j \le n,\, 1 \le i \le k} \frac{x_i +_{\mathbb{L}} x_j}{x_i +_{\mathbb{L}} \overline{x}_j} \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{(n-k)!} \sum_{w \in S_n} w \cdot \left[\mathbf{x}^\nu \prod_{i=1}^{k} \prod_{j=i+1}^{n} \frac{x_i +_{\mathbb{L}} x_j}{x_i +_{\mathbb{L}} \overline{x}_j} \right] = P_\nu^{\mathbb{L}}(\mathbf{x}_n). \end{aligned}$$

We now consider the specialization $t = 0$. Let $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) \in \mathcal{P}_n$ be a partition with length $\ell(\lambda) \le n$. Then we have a decomposition $[n] = I_1 \sqcup I_2 \sqcup \cdots \sqcup I_d$ as above. Letting m_r be the cardinality of I_r for $r = 1, \dots, d$, one can rewrite $\lambda =$

[27] $[t]_{\mathbb{L}}(x)$ reduces to just $t \cdot x$ for the additive formal group law $F_a(u, v) = u + v$, and $\beta^{-1}\{(1 + \beta x)^t - 1\} = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{(t)_i}{i!} \beta^{i-1} x^i$ for the multiplicative formal group law $F_m(u, v) = u + v + \beta uv$. Here $(t)_i$ means $t(t-1)(t-2)\cdots(t-i+1)$.

$(n_1^{m_1}\ n_2^{m_2}\ \dots\ n_d^{m_d})$ $(n_1 > n_2 > \cdots > n_d \geq 0)$. We put $\nu(r) := m_1 + \cdots + m_r$ for $r = 1, 2, \dots, d$ and $\nu(0) := 0$. Then the specialization $t = 0$ gives

(3.7)

$$\begin{aligned} H^{\mathbb{L}}_{\lambda}(\mathbf{x}_n; 0) &= \sum_{\overline{w} \in S_n/S^{\lambda}_n} w \cdot \left[\mathbf{x}^{\lambda} \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n,\ n(i) < n(j)} \frac{x_i}{x_i +_{\mathbb{L}} \overline{x}_j} \right] \\ &= \sum_{\overline{w} \in S_n/S^{\lambda}_n} w \cdot \left[\prod_{i=1}^{n} x_i^{\lambda_i} \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n,\ n(i) < n(j)} \frac{x_i}{x_i +_{\mathbb{L}} \overline{x}_j} \right] \\ &= \sum_{\overline{w} \in S_n/S^{\lambda}_n} w \cdot \left[\frac{\prod_{r=1}^{d} \left(\prod_{m_1 + \cdots + m_{r-1} < i \leq m_1 + \cdots + m_r} x_i^{n_r + n - (m_1 + \cdots + m_r)} \right)}{\prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n,\ n(i) < n(j)} (x_i +_{\mathbb{L}} \overline{x}_j)} \right] \\ &= \sum_{\overline{w} \in S_n/S^{\lambda}_n} w \cdot \left[\frac{\prod_{r=1}^{d} \left(\prod_{\nu(r-1) < i \leq \nu(r)} x_i^{n_r + n - \nu(r)} \right)}{\prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n,\ n(i) < n(j)} (x_i +_{\mathbb{L}} \overline{x}_j)} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

We shall consider this function in later section §5.

4. Applications of Gysin formulas to the Schur functions

In §2.6, we reviewed various Gysin formulas in the ordinary cohomology (or Chow) theory, and in the previous section §3, we introduced *universal* analogues of the ordinary Schur S-, P-, Q-, and Hall-Littlewood functions. In this section, we pursue the Gysin formulas in the complex cobordism theory which relate Gysin maps for flag bundles to these universal Schur functions. The following §4.1 is devoted to the recollection of various known Gysin formulas in the ordinary cohomology theory, and their generalization to the complex cobordism theory will be treated in §4.2. Our main tool for establishing Gysin formulas in the complex cobordism theory is the Bressler-Evens formula reviewed in §2.5.

4.1. **Gysin formulas for various Schur functions.** We use the same notation as in §2.6.2. Let $E \xrightarrow{p} X$ be a complex vector bundle of rank n (over a variety). Denote by $\tau = \tau_E : \mathcal{F}\ell(E) \longrightarrow X$ the associated flag bundle parametrizing successive flags of quotient bundles of E of rank $n-1, \dots, 2, 1$. The usual Schur polynomial $s_{\lambda}(X_1, \dots, X_n)$ corresponding to a partition $\lambda \in \mathcal{P}_n$ is a symmetric polynomial in the n-variables $X_1, \dots, X_n$, and therefore it can be written as a polynomial in the elementary symmetric polynomials $e_i(X_1, \dots, X_n)$'s. Let $x_1, \dots, x_n$ be the Chern roots of E as in §2.6.2. Then the Chern classes $c_i(E)$'s can be identified with $e_i(x_1, \dots, x_n)$'s as usual, and hence $s_{\lambda}(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ can be expressed as a polynomial in $c_i(E)$'s. Let us define a cohomology class $s_{\lambda}(E) \in H^{2|\lambda|}(X)$ to be $\tau^*(s_{\lambda}(E)) := s_{\lambda}(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in H^{2|\lambda|}(\mathcal{F}\ell(E))$.[28] Then the following formula is known:

Proposition 4.1 (Pragacz [58], Lemma 2.3; Fulton-Pragacz [25], (4.1))**.** *The image of the monomial* $\mathbf{x}^{\lambda+\rho_{n-1}} = x_1^{\lambda_1+n-1} x_2^{\lambda_2+n-2} \cdots x_n^{\lambda_n}$ *under the Gysin homomorphism* $\tau_* : H^*(\mathcal{F}\ell(E)) \longrightarrow H^*(X)$ *is given by*

$$\tau_*(\mathbf{x}^{\lambda+\rho_{n-1}}) = s_{\lambda}(E). \tag{4.1}$$

[28] It is well-known that the induced homomorphism $\tau^* : H^*(X) \longrightarrow H^*(\mathcal{F}\ell(E))$ is injective, and hence the cohomology class $s_{\lambda}(E)$ is well-defined.

The formula (4.1) is called the *Jacobi-Trudi identity*, from which Fulton-Pragacz [25] derived some useful formulas for Grassmann bundles, which we recall a bit later.

Furthermore, the analogous Gysin formulas which relate the Hall-Littlewood polynomials and more general flag bundles are considered in Pragacz [61]. Let us recall these formulas. We use the same notation as in §3.3 (see also Pragacz [61]). Let $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n) \in \mathcal{P}_n$ be a partition of length $\leq n$. Then we have a decomposition

$$[n] = \{1, 2, \ldots, n\} = I_1 \sqcup I_2 \sqcup \cdots \sqcup I_d,$$

where λ is "constant" on each I_r $(r = 1, 2, \ldots, d)$. Denote by m_r the length of the interval I_r for $r = 1, 2, \ldots, d$ so that $\sum_{r=1}^{d} m_r = n$, and $n(i)$ the number of the interval containing i for $i \in [n]$. We put $\nu(p) = \sum_{r=1}^{p} m_r$ for $p = 1, \ldots, d$ and $\nu(0) = 0$. The stabilizer of λ is denoted by S_n^λ. Associated to a complex vector bundle $E \longrightarrow X$, one can define a "$(d-1)$-step flag bundle" with steps of lengths m_r

$$\eta_\lambda : \mathcal{F}\ell^\lambda(E) \longrightarrow X,$$

parametrizing flags of quotient bundles of E of ranks

$$n - m_d = \nu(d-1), n - m_d - m_{d-1} = \nu(d-2), \ldots, n - m_d - m_{d-1} - \cdots - m_2 = \nu(1).$$

If $\lambda = \emptyset$, the empty partition, then $\mathcal{F}\ell^\emptyset(E)$ is understood to be the base space X. Here, for later discussion, we shall fix the notation about *partial flag bundles* associated to a complex vector bundle $E \xrightarrow{p} X$ of rank n (see Fulton's book [24, §9.1, 10.6]): For a sequence of integers $0 < r_1 < r_2 < \cdots < r_k < n$, let us denote by $\mathcal{F}\ell_{r_1, r_2, \ldots, r_k}(E)$ a partial flag bundle consisting of flags of subbundles $0 \subsetneq S_1 \subsetneq S_2 \subsetneq \cdots \subsetneq S_k \subsetneq E$ with $\operatorname{rank} S_i = r_i$ $(i = 1, \ldots, k)$. Since giving a flag of subbundles of E as above is equivalent to giving a flag of quotient bundles $E \twoheadrightarrow Q^k \twoheadrightarrow Q^{k-1} \twoheadrightarrow \cdots \twoheadrightarrow Q^1 \twoheadrightarrow 0$ with $\operatorname{rank} Q^i = n - r_{k+1-i}$ $(i = 1, \ldots, k)$, this partial flag bundle is also denoted by $\mathcal{F}\ell^{n-r_1, n-r_2, \ldots, n-r_k}(E)$. Moreover, dualizing the sequence of vector bundles

$$0 \hookrightarrow S_1 \hookrightarrow S_2 \hookrightarrow \cdots \hookrightarrow S_k \hookrightarrow E \twoheadrightarrow Q^k \twoheadrightarrow Q^{k-1} \twoheadrightarrow \cdots \twoheadrightarrow Q^1 \twoheadrightarrow 0$$

gives the sequence of vector bundles

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \hookrightarrow (Q^1)^\vee \hookrightarrow (Q^2)^\vee \hookrightarrow \cdots \hookrightarrow (Q^k)^\vee &\hookrightarrow E^\vee \\ &\twoheadrightarrow (S_k)^\vee \twoheadrightarrow (S_{k-1})^\vee \twoheadrightarrow \cdots \twoheadrightarrow (S_1)^\vee \twoheadrightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we have the canonical isomorphism

$$\mathcal{F}\ell_{r_1, r_2, \ldots, r_k}(E) = \mathcal{F}\ell^{n-r_1, n-r_2, \ldots, n-r_k}(E) \cong \mathcal{F}\ell_{n-r_k, n-r_{k-1}, \ldots, n-r_1}(E^\vee) = \mathcal{F}\ell^{r_k, r_{k-1}, \ldots, r_1}(E^\vee).$$

Using this notation, we can write

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}\ell^\lambda(E) &= \mathcal{F}\ell^{\nu(d-1), \nu(d-2), \ldots, \nu(1)}(E) = \mathcal{F}\ell_{n-\nu(d-1), n-\nu(d-2), \ldots, n-\nu(1)}(E) \\ &= \mathcal{F}\ell_{\nu(1), \nu(2), \ldots, \nu(d-1)}(E^\vee) = \mathcal{F}\ell^{n-\nu(1), n-\nu(2), \ldots, n-\nu(d-1)}(E^\vee). \end{aligned}$$

Example 4.2 (See Pragacz [61], Example 2)**.**

(1) *Let $\nu = (\nu_1, \ldots, \nu_k) \in \mathcal{SP}_n$ be a strict partition with length $\ell(\nu) = k \leq n$. Then as we saw at the end of §3.3, we have $d = k + 1$, and*

$$(m_1, \ldots, m_k, m_{k+1}) = (\underbrace{1, 1, \ldots, 1}_{k}, n-k), \quad S_n^\nu = (S_1)^k \times S_{n-k}.$$

Then the corresponding flag bundle $\eta_\nu : \mathcal{F}\ell^\nu(E) \longrightarrow X$ is often denoted by $\tau^k = \tau_E^k : \mathcal{F}\ell^{k, k-1, \ldots, 2, 1}(E) \longrightarrow X$, and parametrizes flags of successive quotient bundles of E of ranks $k, k-1, \ldots, 2, 1$. As a special case of this example, the

flag bundle corresponding to the partition $\rho_{n-1} = (n-1, n-2, \ldots, 2, 1, 0)$ *is the full flag bundle* $\tau : \mathcal{F}\ell^{n-1,n-2,\ldots,2,1}(E) = \mathcal{F}\ell(E) \longrightarrow X$.

(2) *Let* $\lambda = (\underbrace{a, \ldots, a}_{q}, \underbrace{b, \ldots, b}_{n-q}) = (a^q\ b^{n-q}) \in \mathcal{P}_n$ *be a partition of two rows with* $a > b \geq 0$. *Then we have a decomposition* $[n] = I_1 \sqcup I_2$, *where* $I_1 = [1, q] = \{1, \ldots, q\}$ *and* $I_2 = [q+1, n] = \{q+1, \ldots, n\}$. *Therefore we have*

$$(m_1, m_2) = (q, n-q), \quad \textit{and} \quad S_n^\lambda = S_q \times S_{n-q}.$$

Thus the corresponding flag bundle is the Grassmann bundle $\pi : G^q(E) \longrightarrow X$ *parametrizing rank* q *quotient bundles of* E.

Now we recall the useful formula for Grassmann bundle derived from the Jacobi-Trudi identity mentioned above. Let $\pi : G^q(E) \longrightarrow X$ be the Grassmann bundle parametrizing rank q quotient bundles of E as in Example 4.2 (2). On $G^q(E)$, we have the tautological exact sequence of vector bundles:

$$0 \longrightarrow S \hookrightarrow \pi^*(E) \twoheadrightarrow Q \longrightarrow 0,$$

where rank $S = n - q$ and rank $Q = q$. Set $r := n - q$. Then by the repeated applications of the Jacobi-Trudi identity (4.1), Fulton-Pragacz [25, (4.2)] showed the following formula:[29] For any partitions $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_q)$, $\mu = (\mu_1, \ldots, \mu_r)$,

$$\pi_*(s_\lambda(Q) \cdot s_\mu(S)) = s_{\lambda_1 - r, \ldots, \lambda_q - r, \mu_1, \ldots, \mu_r}(E). \tag{4.2}$$

In §4.2.2 below, we shall give a generalization of the above formula (4.2) as a special case of the corrected version of Fel'dman's Gysin formula.

Let us recall Gysin formulas for more general flag bundles. The following proposition is a generalization of Theorem 2.8 to the partial flag bundle $\eta_\lambda : \mathcal{F}\ell^\lambda(E) \longrightarrow X$, which was proved by Pragacz [61] as a particular case of Brion [12, Proposition 2.1]:

Proposition 4.3 (Pragacz [61], Proposition 5)**.** *For an* S_n^λ*-invariant polynomial* $f(X_1, \ldots, X_n) \in H^*(X)[X_1, \ldots, X_n]^{S_n^\lambda}$, *we have*

$$(\eta_\lambda)_*(f(x_1, \ldots, x_n)) = \sum_{\overline{w} \in S_n / S_n^\lambda} w \cdot \left[\frac{f(x_1, \ldots, x_n)}{\prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n,\ n(i) < n(j)} (x_i - x_j)} \right].$$

Here the element $f(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ *is regarded as an element in* $H^*(\mathcal{F}\ell^\lambda(E)) \hookrightarrow H^*(\mathcal{F}\ell(E))$.[30]

From this, Pragacz showed (implicitly) the following formula:

Corollary 4.4. *For the Gysin homomorphism* $(\eta_\lambda)_* : H^*(\mathcal{F}\ell^\lambda(E)) \longrightarrow H^*(X)$, *the following formula holds*:

$$(\eta_\lambda)_* \left(\mathbf{x}^\lambda \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n,\ n(i) < n(j)} (x_i - t x_j) \right) = P_\lambda(E; t),$$

where the cohomology class $P_\lambda(E; t)$ *is defined from the Hall-Littlewood polynomial* $P_\lambda(x_1, \ldots, x_n; t)$ *in the same way as* $s_\lambda(E)$ *at the beginning of this subsection.*

By Corollary 4.4, one can deduce Gysin formula for Schur P-polynomials (see Pragacz [61, Examples 2 and 11, Corollary 6]):

[29] Note that in case the sequence $(\lambda_1 - r, \ldots, \lambda_q - r, \mu_1, \ldots, \mu_r)$ is *not* a partition, the right-hand side is either 0 or $\pm s_\nu(E)$ for some partition (see Fulton-Pragacz [25, p.42, Footnote]).

[30] Strictly speaking, this formula should be considered in $H^*(\mathcal{F}\ell(E))$ via the pull-back $H^*(X) \xhookrightarrow{\eta_\lambda^*} H^*(\mathcal{F}\ell^\lambda(E))) \hookrightarrow H^*(\mathcal{F}\ell(E))$ (cf. Corollary 2.6). In what follows, we often use such abbreviation to simplify the presentation.

Corollary 4.5 (Pragacz [61], Corollary 6)**.** *In the setting as in Example* 4.2 (1)*, the following formula holds*:

$$(\tau^k)_*\left(\mathbf{x}^\nu \prod_{1\leq i\leq k,\ 1\leq i<j\leq n}(x_i - tx_j)\right) = P_\nu(E;t).$$

Since we know that $P_\nu(\mathbf{x}_n;-1) = P_\nu(\mathbf{x}_n)$ (see (3.6)), we obtain the following:

Corollary 4.6. *With the above notation, the following formula holds*:

$$(\tau^k)_*\left(\mathbf{x}^\nu \prod_{1\leq i\leq k,\ 1\leq i<j\leq n}(x_i + x_j)\right) = P_\nu(E).$$

4.2. **Universal Gysin formulas for the universal Schur functions.**

4.2.1. *Application of the Bressler-Evens formula.* Since the Bressler-Evens formula (Theorem 2.5) is formulated in complex-oriented generalized cohomology theories, it can be applied especially to the complex cobordism theory $MU^*(-)$. We use the same notation as in §2.6.2. For a complex vector bundle $E \xrightarrow{p} X$ of rank n, one can associate the MU^*-theory Chern classes[31] $c_i^{MU}(E) \in MU^{2i}(X)$ $(i = 1, 2, \ldots, n)$ and $c_0^{MU}(E) := 1$. Put $x_i = x_i^{MU} := c_1^{MU}(L^i) \in MU^2(\mathcal{F}\ell(E))$ $(i = 1, 2, \ldots, n)$ (the MU^*-theory Chern roots of E). Then the MU^*-cohomology of $\mathcal{F}\ell(E)$ is given as follows (see e.g., Hornbostel-Kiritchenko [28, Theorem 2.6]):

$$MU^*(\mathcal{F}\ell(E)) = MU^*(X)[x_1, \ldots, x_n]/(\prod_{i=1}^{n}(1 + x_i) = c^{MU}(E)),$$

where $c^{MU}(E) = \sum_{i=0}^{n} c_i^{MU}(E)$ is the total Chern class of E. Then we obtain the following result, which is a *universal* analogue of Theorem 2.8:

Theorem 4.7. *With the same notation as in Theorem* 2.8*, we have*

$$\tau^* \circ \tau_*(f(x_1, \ldots, x_n)) = \sum_{w\in S_n} w \cdot \left[\frac{f(x_1, \ldots, x_n)}{\prod_{1\leq i<j\leq n}(x_i +_{\mathbb{L}} \overline{x}_j)}\right]$$

for a polynomial $f(X_1, \ldots, X_n) \in MU^*(X)[X_1, \ldots, X_n]$.

Thus the Gysin map τ_* in the complex cobordism theory is also given by a certain symmetrizing operator which is a universal analogue of the Jacobi symmetrizer (see Theorem 2.8).

By Theorem 4.7 and (3.1), we obtain the following corollary, which is a universal analogue of Proposition 4.1:

Corollary 4.8 (Characterization of the universal Schur functions)**.** *The image of the monomial* $\mathbf{x}^{\lambda+\rho_{n-1}} = x_1^{\lambda_1+n-1}x_2^{\lambda_2+n-2}\cdots x_n^{\lambda_n}$ *under the Gysin homomorphism* $\tau_* : MU^*(\mathcal{F}\ell(E)) \longrightarrow MU^*(X)$ *is given by*

$$\tau_*(\mathbf{x}^{\lambda+\rho_{n-1}}) = s_\lambda^{\mathbb{L}}(E).$$

Here the characteristic class $s_\lambda^{\mathbb{L}}(E) \in MU^{2|\lambda|}(X)$ *is defined by the same manner as* $s_\lambda(E) \in H^{2|\lambda|}(X)$.

[31] For the historical reason, they are also called *Conner-Floyd Chern classes* (see Adams [1, Part I, §4], Conner-Floyd [15, Corollary 8.3]).

Remark 4.9. *Since* $\tau^*(s^{\mathbb{L}}_\lambda(E)) = s^{\mathbb{L}}_\lambda(\mathbf{x}_n) \in MU^*(\mathcal{F}\ell(E))$*, and* τ^* *is injective, the above formula can be written as*

$$\tau_*(\mathbf{x}^{\lambda+\rho_{n-1}}) = s^{\mathbb{L}}_\lambda(\mathbf{x}_n).$$

More generally, the symmetrizing operator description of τ_* *(Theorem* 4.7*) yields formally the following formula*:

$$\tau_*([\mathbf{x}|\mathbf{b}]^{\lambda+\rho_{n-1}}) = s^{\mathbb{L}}_\lambda(\mathbf{x}_n|\mathbf{b}). \tag{4.3}$$

Here $\mathbf{b} = (b_1, b_2, \ldots)$ *is a sequence of certain elements in* $MU^*(X)$*, which behaves as scalars with respect to* τ_**.*

The universal analogue of Proposition 4.3 can also be obtained. Under the same setting as in Proposition 4.3, we have a classifying map $h : X \longrightarrow BU(n)$ and its lift $\tilde{h} : \mathcal{F}\ell^\lambda(E) \longrightarrow B(U(m_1) \times U(m_2) \times \cdots \times U(m_d))$, and the following diagram is commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{F}\ell^\lambda(E) & \xrightarrow{\tilde{h}} & B(U(m_1) \times U(m_2) \times \cdots \times U(m_d)) \\ {\scriptstyle \eta_\lambda}\big\downarrow & & \big\downarrow{\scriptstyle \sigma} \\ X & \xrightarrow{h} & BU(n). \end{array}$$

By Corollary 2.6 and the base-change property of Gysin maps, we obtain immediately the following generalization of Proposition 4.3:

Theorem 4.10. *For an* S_n^λ*-invariant polynomial* $f(X_1, \ldots, X_n) \in MU^*(X)[X_1, \ldots, X_n]^{S_n^\lambda}$*, we have*

$$(\eta_\lambda)_*(f(x_1, \ldots, x_n)) = \sum_{\overline{w} \in S_n/S_n^\lambda} w \cdot \left[\frac{f(x_1, \ldots, x_n)}{\prod_{1 \le i < j \le n,\, n(i) < n(j)} (x_i +_{\mathbb{L}} \overline{x}_j)} \right].$$

From this, we have the following corollary which is a universal analogue of Corollary 4.4:

Corollary 4.11 (Characterization of the universal Hall-Littlewood functions)**.** *For the Gysin homomorphism* $(\eta_\lambda)_* : MU^*(\mathcal{F}\ell^\lambda(E)) \longrightarrow MU^*(X)$*, the following formula holds*:

$$(\eta_\lambda)_* \left(\mathbf{x}^\lambda \prod_{1 \le i < j \le n,\, n(i) < n(j)} (x_i +_{\mathbb{L}} [t]_{\mathbb{L}}(\overline{x}_j)) \right) = H^{\mathbb{L}}_\lambda(E; t).$$

For a strict partition $\nu \in \mathcal{SP}_n$, we saw that $H^{\mathbb{L}}_\nu(\mathbf{x}_n; -1) = P^{\mathbb{L}}_\nu(\mathbf{x}_n)$ at the end of §3.3. From this and Corollary 4.11, we obtain the following corollary, which is a universal analogue of Corollary 4.6:

Corollary 4.12. *With the same notation as in Corollary* 4.6*, we have*

$$(\tau^k)_* \left(\mathbf{x}^\nu \prod_{1 \le i \le k,\, 1 \le i < j \le n} (x_i +_{\mathbb{L}} x_j) \right) = P^{\mathbb{L}}_\nu(E).$$

4.2.2. *Fel'dman's Gysin formula.* As promised in the footnote after Remark 3.1, we shall reformulate Fel'dman's Gysin formula [22, Theorem 4.5] in the complex cobordism theory, and prove it. To this end, we use the universal Schur function $s^{\mathbb{L}}_I(\mathbf{x}_n)$ for a sequence of non-negative integers I defined in §3.2. For two sequences $I =$

$(I_1, I_2, \ldots, I_q)$, $J = (J_1, J_2, \ldots, J_r)$ of non-negative integers, denote by IJ their *juxtaposition*, i.e.,

$$IJ := (I_1, I_2, \ldots, I_q, J_1, J_2, \ldots, J_r).$$

Let $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n) \in \mathcal{P}_n$ be a partition of length $\leq n$, and rewrite it as $\lambda = (n_1^{m_1}\ n_2^{m_2}\ \cdots n_d^{m_d})$, $n_1 > n_2 > \cdots > n_d \geq 0$, according to the decomposition $[n] = I_1 \sqcup I_2 \sqcup \cdots \sqcup I_d$. Then consider the $(d-1)$-step partial flag bundle

$$\eta_\lambda : \mathcal{F}\ell^\lambda(E) \longrightarrow X$$

associated with a complex vector bundle $E \longrightarrow X$ (for the notation, see §4.1). We are concerned with the induced Gysin map in the complex cobordism theory:

$$(\eta_\lambda)_* : MU^*(\mathcal{F}\ell^\lambda(E)) \longrightarrow MU^*(X).$$

On $\mathcal{F}\ell^\lambda(E)$, we have the tautological sequence of flag of sub and quotient bundles:

$$\begin{aligned} &S_0 = 0 \hookrightarrow S_1 \hookrightarrow \cdots \hookrightarrow S_r \hookrightarrow \cdots \hookrightarrow S_{d-1} \hookrightarrow S_d = \eta_\lambda^*(E), \\ &\eta_\lambda^*(E) = Q^d \twoheadrightarrow Q^{d-1} \twoheadrightarrow \cdots \twoheadrightarrow Q^r \twoheadrightarrow \cdots \twoheadrightarrow Q^1 \twoheadrightarrow Q^0 = 0, \end{aligned}$$

where, $Q^r := \eta_\lambda^*(E)/S_{d-r}$ $(r = 1, 2, \ldots, d)$. Define the vector bundles over $\mathcal{F}\ell^\lambda(E)$ by

$$E_r := \mathrm{Ker}\,(Q^r \twoheadrightarrow Q^{r-1}) \quad (r = 1, 2, \ldots, d).$$

Then we have $\eta_\lambda^*(E) \cong \bigoplus_{r=1}^d E_r$, and the MU^*-theory Chern roots of E_r are given by $x_{\nu(r-1)+1}, \ldots, x_{\nu(r)}$ $(r = 1, 2, \ldots, d)$. Here $x_1, \ldots, x_n$ are the MU^*-theory Chern roots of E (see the beginning of §4.2.1), and $\nu(r) := \sum_{i=1}^r m_i$ for $r = 1, 2, \ldots, d$ and $\nu(0) := 0$ (see the end of §3.3). With this notation, our version of Fel'dman's Gysin formula is stated as follows:

Theorem 4.13 (cf. Fel'dman [22], Theorem 4.5)**.** *For sequences of non-negative integers $I^{(r)} = (I_1^{(r)}, I_2^{(r)}, \ldots, I_{m_r}^{(r)})$ $(r = 1, 2, \ldots, d)$, we have*

$$(\eta_\lambda)_* \left(\prod_{r=1}^d s^{\mathbb{L}}_{I^{(r)} + ((n-\nu(r))^{m_r})}(E_r) \right) = s^{\mathbb{L}}_{I^{(1)} I^{(2)} \cdots I^{(d)}}(E).$$

Here the partition $((n-\nu(r))^{m_r})$ means $(\underbrace{n-\nu(r), n-\nu(r), \ldots, n-\nu(r)}_{m_r})$.

Proof. As in Fel'dman's proof, it suffices to prove the assertion for the case of a Grassmann bundle. Therefore we may assume that the partition λ is of the form $\lambda = (a^q\, b^{n-q})$ with $a > b \geq 0$, and consider the associated Grassmann bundle $\pi : G^q(E) \longrightarrow X$ (see Example 4.2 (2)). On $G^q(E)$, we have the tautological exact sequence of vector bundles:

$$0 \longrightarrow S \hookrightarrow \pi^*(E) \twoheadrightarrow Q \longrightarrow 0.$$

Note that $E_1 = Q$, and $E_2 = \mathrm{Ker}\,(\pi^*(E) \twoheadrightarrow Q) \cong S$. For given two sequences of non-negative integers $I = (I_1, I_2, \ldots, I_q)$, $J = (J_1, J_2, \ldots, J_{n-q})$, consider the universal Schur function corresponding to the juxtaposition $IJ = (I_1, \ldots, I_q, J_1, \ldots, J_{n-q})$:

$$s^{\mathbb{L}}_{IJ}(x_1, \ldots, x_q, x_{q+1}, \ldots, x_n) = \sum_{w \in S_n} w \cdot \left[\frac{\mathbf{x}^{IJ+\rho_{n-1}}}{\prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n}(x_i +_{\mathbb{L}} \overline{x}_j)} \right].$$

We decompose the denominator and the numerator inside the bracket in the right-hand side as

$$\prod_{1\le i<j\le n}(x_i +_{\mathbb{L}} \overline{x}_j) = \prod_{1\le i<j\le q}(x_i +_{\mathbb{L}} \overline{x}_j) \times \prod_{q+1\le i<j\le n}(x_i +_{\mathbb{L}} \overline{x}_j) \times \prod_{1\le i\le q,\, q+1\le j\le n}(x_i +_{\mathbb{L}} \overline{x}_j),$$

$$\mathbf{x}^{IJ+\rho_{n-1}} = \prod_{i=1}^{q} x_i^{I_i+n-i} \times \prod_{i=q+1}^{n} x_i^{J_{i-q}+n-i} = (x_1\cdots x_q)^{n-q} \times \prod_{i=1}^{q} x_i^{I_i+q-i} \times \prod_{i=q+1}^{n} x_i^{J_{i-q}+n-i}.$$

Since $\prod_{1\le i\le q,\, q+1\le j\le n}(x_i +_{\mathbb{L}} \overline{x}_j)$ and $(x_1\cdots x_q)^{n-q}$ are both $S_q \times S_{n-q}$-invariant, we compute

$$\begin{aligned}
&s^{\mathbb{L}}_{IJ}(x_1,\dots,x_q,x_{q+1},\dots,x_n) = \sum_{w\in S_n} w\cdot\left[\frac{\mathbf{x}^{IJ+\rho_{n-1}}}{\prod_{1\le i<j\le n}(x_i +_{\mathbb{L}} \overline{x}_j)}\right]\\
&= \sum_{\overline{w}\in S_n/S_q\times S_{n-q}} w\cdot\left[\frac{(x_1\cdots x_q)^{n-q}}{\prod_{1\le i\le q,\, q+1\le j\le n}(x_i +_{\mathbb{L}} \overline{x}_j)}\right.\\
&\qquad\qquad \left.\times \sum_{(u,v)\in S_q\times S_{n-q}} u\cdot\left[\frac{\prod_{i=1}^{q} x_i^{I_i+q-i}}{\prod_{1\le i<j\le q}(x_i +_{\mathbb{L}} \overline{x}_j)}\right] \times v\cdot\left[\frac{\prod_{i=q+1}^{n} x_i^{J_{i-q}+n-i}}{\prod_{q+1\le i<j\le n}(x_i +_{\mathbb{L}} \overline{x}_j)}\right]\right]\\
&= \sum_{\overline{w}\in S_n/S_q\times S_{n-q}} w\cdot\left[\frac{(x_1\cdots x_q)^{n-q} s^{\mathbb{L}}_I(x_1,\dots,x_q) s^{\mathbb{L}}_J(x_{q+1},\dots,x_n)}{\prod_{1\le i\le q,\, q+1\le j\le n}(x_i +_{\mathbb{L}} \overline{x}_j)}\right]\\
&= \pi_*((x_1\cdots x_q)^{n-q} s^{\mathbb{L}}_I(x_1,\dots,x_q)\, s^{\mathbb{L}}_J(x_{q+1},\dots,x_n))\\
&= \pi_*(s^{\mathbb{L}}_{I+((n-q)^q)}(x_1,\dots,x_q)\, s^{\mathbb{L}}_J(x_{q+1},\dots,x_n)).
\end{aligned}$$

In the final step, we used the formula "$(x_1\cdots x_n)^c \times s^{\mathbb{L}}_I(\mathbf{x}_n) = s^{\mathbb{L}}_{I+(c^n)}(\mathbf{x}_n)$" for any non-negative integer c, which follows immediately from the definition of $s^{\mathbb{L}}_I(\mathbf{x}_n)$. Thus we have

$$\pi_*(s^{\mathbb{L}}_{I+((n-q)^q)}(x_1,\dots,x_q) s^{\mathbb{L}}_J(x_{q+1},\dots,x_n)) = s^{\mathbb{L}}_{IJ}(x_1,\dots,x_n).$$

Rewriting this expression in terms of vector bundles, we have the desired formula:

$$\pi_*(s^{\mathbb{L}}_{I+((n-q)^q)}(E_1)\, s^{\mathbb{L}}_J(E_2)) = s^{\mathbb{L}}_{IJ}(E).$$

□

5. New universal factorial Schur functions

5.1. **Definition of the new universal factorial Schur functions.** In §3.3, we defined the universal Hall-Littlewood function $H^{\mathbb{L}}_\lambda(\mathbf{x}_n;t)$ for a partition $\lambda\in\mathcal{P}_n$. We saw that for a strict partition $\nu\in\mathcal{SP}_n$, this function reduces to the universal Schur P-function $P^{\mathbb{L}}_\nu(\mathbf{x}_n)$ under the specialization $t=-1$. As announced in §3.3, we shall consider the specialization $t=0$ in this subsection. In fact, unlike the usual Hall-Littlewood polynomial $P_\lambda(x_1,\dots,x_n;t)$, the universal Hall-Littlewood function $H^{\mathbb{L}}_\lambda(\mathbf{x}_n;t)$ need not coincide with the universal Schur function $s^{\mathbb{L}}_\lambda(\mathbf{x}_n)$ under the specialization $t=0$. Thus one obtains another *universal* analogue of the Schur polynomial, which we call the "new universal (factorial) Schur function". We shall use the same notation as in §3.3. Let $\lambda=(\lambda_1,\dots,\lambda_n)\in\mathcal{P}_n$ be a partition of length $\le n$. Then we rewrite $\lambda=(n_1^{m_1}\ n_2^{m_2}\ \dots\ n_d^{m_d})$, $n_1>n_2>\cdots>n_d\ge 0$ as before. Put

$\nu(r) = \sum_{i=1}^{r} m_i$ for $r = 1, 2, \ldots, d$ and $\nu(0) = 0$. Define

$$(\mathbf{x}|\mathbf{b})^{[\lambda]} := \prod_{r=1}^{d} \left(\prod_{\nu(r-1)<i\leq\nu(r)} [x_i|\mathbf{b}]^{n_r+n-\nu(r)} \right). \tag{5.1}$$

When the parameters $\mathbf{b} = \mathbf{0}$, then we write simply $\mathbf{x}^{[\lambda]}$ for $(\mathbf{x}|\mathbf{0})^{[\lambda]}$. With the above notation, we make the following definition:

Definition 5.1 (New universal factorial Schur functions)**.** *For a partition $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n) \in \mathcal{P}_n$, we define*

$$\mathbb{S}^{\mathbb{L}}_{\lambda}(\mathbf{x}_n|\mathbf{b}) = \mathbb{S}^{\mathbb{L}}_{\lambda}(x_1, \ldots, x_n|\mathbf{b}) := \sum_{\overline{w}\in S_n/S_n^{\lambda}} w \cdot \left[\frac{(\mathbf{x}|\mathbf{b})^{[\lambda]}}{\prod_{1\leq i<j\leq n,\, n(i)<n(j)} (x_i +_{\mathbb{L}} \overline{x}_j)} \right].$$

We also define

$$\mathbb{S}^{\mathbb{L}}_{\lambda}(\mathbf{x}_n) = \mathbb{S}^{\mathbb{L}}_{\lambda}(x_1, \ldots, x_n) := \mathbb{S}^{\mathbb{L}}_{\lambda}(x_1, \ldots, x_n|\mathbf{0}).$$

It follows immediately from Definition 5.1 and (3.7) that when $t = 0$, the universal Hall-Littlewood function $H^{\mathbb{L}}_{\lambda}(\mathbf{x}_n; t)$ reduces to the new universal Schur function $\mathbb{S}^{\mathbb{L}}_{\lambda}(\mathbf{x}_n)$. Moreover, one sees directly from the definition that if we specialize the universal formal group law $F_{\mathbb{L}}(u, v)$ to the additive one $F_a(u, v) = u + v$ (and $b_i = -a_i$ $(i = 1, 2, \ldots)$), then the new universal factorial Schur function $\mathbb{S}^{\mathbb{L}}_{\lambda}(\mathbf{x}_n|\mathbf{b})$ reduces to the factorial Schur function $s_{\lambda}(\mathbf{x}_n|a)$ (see the proof of Macdonald [48, Chapter III, §1, (1.5)]). On the other hand, it is not obvious from Definition 5.1 that $\mathbb{S}^{\mathbb{L}}_{\lambda}(\mathbf{x}_n|\mathbf{b})$ reduces to the factorial Grothendieck polynomial $G_{\lambda}(\mathbf{x}_n|\mathbf{b})$ under the specialization from $F_{\mathbb{L}}(u, v)$ to the multiplicative one $F_m(u, v) = u + v + \beta uv$. We shall show that this is the case after establishing some Gysin formulas for the new universal factorial Schur functions (see §5.2). Thus the new universal factorial Schur functions are also *universal* analogues of the usual Schur functions. Note that, by definition, $\mathbb{S}^{\mathbb{L}}_{\emptyset}(\mathbf{x}_n|\mathbf{b}) = 1$ for the empty partition $\emptyset$, whereas $s^{\mathbb{L}}_{\emptyset}(\mathbf{x}_n|\mathbf{b}) \neq 1$ (see §3.2).

Example 5.2.

(1) *Let $\lambda \in \mathcal{P}_n$ be a partition such that $\lambda_1 > \lambda_2 > \cdots > \lambda_{n-1} > \lambda_n \geq 0$. Then we have a decomposition $[n] = I_1 \sqcup I_2 \sqcup \cdots \sqcup I_n$, where $I_i = \{i\}$. Therefore we have*

$$(m_1, m_2, \ldots, m_n) = (\underbrace{1, 1, \ldots, 1}_{n}) \quad \textit{and} \quad S_n^{\lambda} = \underbrace{S_1 \times \cdots \times S_1}_{n} = \{1\}.$$

In this case, one sees immediately from (5.1) *that $(\mathbf{x}|\mathbf{b})^{[\lambda]} = [\mathbf{x}|\mathbf{b}]^{\lambda+\rho_{n-1}}$, and hence*

$$s^{\mathbb{L}}_{\lambda}(\mathbf{x}_n|\mathbf{b}) = \mathbb{S}^{\mathbb{L}}_{\lambda}(\mathbf{x}_n|\mathbf{b}).$$

Thus for such a distinct partition λ, the function $s^{\mathbb{L}}_{\lambda}(\mathbf{x}_n|\mathbf{b})$ coincides with the function $\mathbb{S}^{\mathbb{L}}_{\lambda}(\mathbf{x}_n|\mathbf{b})$. However, for a partition with equal parts, the difference does occur (see the end of §5.2).

(2) *Let $k \geq 1$ be a positive integer, and consider the case where λ is "one-row", namely $\lambda = (k) = (k\ 0^{n-1})$. Then we have a decomposition $[n] = I_1 \sqcup I_2$, where $I_1 = \{1\}$, $I_2 = [2, n] = \{2, \ldots, n\}$. Thus we have*

$$(m_1, m_2) = (1, n-1) \quad \textit{and} \quad S_n^{\lambda} = S_1 \times S_{n-1},$$

and one sees immediately that $\mathbf{x}^{[\lambda]} = x_1^{k+n-1}$. *Therefore the function* $\mathbb{S}^{\mathbb{L}}_k(\mathbf{x}_n) := \mathbb{S}^{\mathbb{L}}_{(k)}(\mathbf{x}_n)$ *corresponding to the one-row* (k) *is given by*

$$\mathbb{S}^{\mathbb{L}}_k(\mathbf{x}_n) = \sum_{\overline{w}\in S_n/S_1\times S_{n-1}} w\cdot\left[\frac{x_1^{k+n-1}}{\prod_{j=2}^n(x_1 +_{\mathbb{L}} \overline{x}_j)}\right] = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{x_i^{k+n-1}}{\prod_{j\neq i}(x_i +_{\mathbb{L}} \overline{x}_j)}. \tag{5.2}$$

Here we assumed that $k \geq 1$ *is a positive integer. However, the right-hand side of* (5.2) *makes sense for* $k \geq 1-n$, *which could be non-positive, or actually negative if* $n \geq 2$. *Therefore one can formally define* $\mathbb{S}^{\mathbb{L}}_k(\mathbf{x}_n)$ *for each integer* $k \geq 1-n$ *by the right-hand side of the above expression. For instance, one has*

$$\mathbb{S}^{\mathbb{L}}_0(\mathbf{x}_n) = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{x_i^{n-1}}{\prod_{j\neq i}(x_i +_{\mathbb{L}} \overline{x}_j)},$$

which differs from 1.[32] *Moreover, as we will see in the next subsection* §5.3, *one can define* $\mathbb{S}^{\mathbb{L}}_k(\mathbf{x}_n)$ *for any integer* $k \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Remark 5.3. *In our previous paper* [55, §4.5], *we investigated various properties of the universal factorial Schur functions* $s^{\mathbb{L}}_\lambda(\mathbf{x}_n|\mathbf{b})$ *such as "vanishing property", "basis theorem". The new universal factorial Schur functions* $\mathbb{S}^{\mathbb{L}}_\lambda(\mathbf{x}_n|\mathbf{b})$ *also have the similar properties. We shall discuss this problem elsewhere.*

5.2. **Gysin formulas for the new universal Schur functions.** In this subsection, we shall establish Gysin formulas for the new universal factorial Schur functions. Using these formulas, we are able to compare the new universal factorial Schur functions ("new" functions for short) $\mathbb{S}^{\mathbb{L}}_\lambda(\mathbf{x}_n|\mathbf{b})$ with the universal factorial Schur functions ("old" functions for short) $s^{\mathbb{L}}_\lambda(\mathbf{x}_n|\mathbf{b})$ introduced in §3.2.

5.2.1. *Gysin formulas for the new universal Schur functions.* We begin with the following theorem: From Theorem 4.10, we have immediately

Theorem 5.4 (Characterization of the new universal Schur functions)**.** *For the Gysin homomorphism* $(\eta_\lambda)_* : MU^*(\mathcal{F}\ell^\lambda(E)) \longrightarrow MU^*(X)$, *the following formula holds*:

$$(\eta_\lambda)_*(\mathbf{x}^{[\lambda]}) = \mathbb{S}^{\mathbb{L}}_\lambda(\mathbf{x}_n),$$

where $x_1, \ldots, x_n$ *are the* MU^**-theory Chern roots of* E *as before. More gererally, the following factorial version holds for a sequence* $\mathbf{b} = (b_1, b_2, \ldots)$ *of certain elements in* $MU^*(X)$:

$$(\eta_\lambda)_*((\mathbf{x}|\mathbf{b})^{[\lambda]}) = \mathbb{S}^{\mathbb{L}}_\lambda(\mathbf{x}_n|\mathbf{b}). \tag{5.3}$$

Example 5.5.

(1) *Let us consider the "one-row" case* $\lambda = (k)$ *as in Example* 5.2 (2). *Thus the corresponding flag bundle is the projective bundle* $\pi_1 : G^1(E) \longrightarrow X$, *and Theorem* 5.4 *implies the following formula*:

$$\pi_{1*}(x_1^{k+n-1}) = \mathbb{S}^{\mathbb{L}}_k(\mathbf{x}_n).$$

(2) *Let* $\lambda = (\underbrace{a,\ldots,a}_{q},\underbrace{b,\ldots,b}_{n-q}) = (a^q\ b^{n-q}) \in \mathcal{P}_n$ *be a partition of two rows with* $a > b \geq 0$ *as in Example* 4.2 (2). *Then the corresponding flag bundle is the Grassmann bundle* $\pi : G^q(E) \longrightarrow X$, *and one sees directly that* $\mathbf{x}^{[\lambda]} = x_1^{a+n-q}\cdots x_q^{a+n-q}x_{q+1}^b\cdots x_n^b$, *and Theorem* 5.4 *implies*

$$\pi_*(x_1^{a+n-q}\cdots x_q^{a+n-q}x_{q+1}^b\cdots x_n^b) = \mathbb{S}^{\mathbb{L}}_{(a^q\ b^{n-q})}(\mathbf{x}_n).$$

[32] Note that $\mathbb{S}^{\mathbb{L}}_\emptyset(\mathbf{x}_n) = 1$ by definition.

(3) *Let $\lambda \in \mathcal{P}_n$ be a distinct partition such that $\lambda_1 > \lambda_2 > \cdots > \lambda_{n-1} > \lambda_n \geq 0$ as in Example* 5.2 (1)*. Then the corresponding partial flag bundle is the full flag bundle $\tau : \mathcal{F}\ell(E) \longrightarrow X$. In this case, one obtains that $\mathbf{x}^{[\lambda]} = \mathbf{x}^{\lambda+\rho_{n-1}}$, and Corollary* 4.8 *and Theorem* 5.4 *imply*

$$s^{\mathbb{L}}_{\lambda}(\mathbf{x}_n) = \tau_*(\mathbf{x}^{\lambda+\rho_{n-1}}) = \mathbb{S}^{\mathbb{L}}_{\lambda}(\mathbf{x}_n),$$

which was already seen in Example 5.2 (1) (*with* $\mathbf{b} = \mathbf{0}$).

5.2.2. *Comparison of "new" functions $\mathbb{S}^{\mathbb{L}}_{\lambda}(\mathbf{x}_n|\mathbf{b})$ with "old" functions $s^{\mathbb{L}}_{\lambda}(\mathbf{x}_n|\mathbf{b})$.* More generally, the above Gysin formulas (Theorem 5.4) can be formulated as those between two partial flag bundles of the form "$\pi^{\mu}_{\lambda} : \mathcal{F}\ell^{\mu}(E) \longrightarrow\!\!\!\!\!\rightarrow \mathcal{F}\ell^{\lambda}(E)$", where the partition μ is a "refinement" of λ. Let us explain what the word "refinement" means: We use the notation in the beginning of this subsection (see also §3.3 and §4.1). For two positive integers a, b with $a < b$, denote by $[a, b]$ the set of integers i such that $a \leq i \leq b$. Let $\lambda, \mu \in \mathcal{P}_n$ be two partitions of length $\leq n$. Then as explained in §3.3, one obtains two decompositions

$$[1, n] = I_1 \sqcup I_2 \sqcup \cdots \sqcup I_d, \quad [1, n] = J_1 \sqcup J_2 \sqcup \cdots \sqcup J_e$$

of the interval $[1, n]$ corresponding to λ, μ respectively. Suppose that the decomposition $[1, n] = J_1 \sqcup \cdots \sqcup J_e$ is a refinement of the decomposition $[1, n] = I_1 \sqcup \cdots \sqcup I_d$ in the usual sense, i.e., $I_1 = J_1 \sqcup \cdots \sqcup J_{k_1}$, $I_2 = J_{k_1+1} \sqcup \cdots \sqcup J_{k_2}$, and so on, for some positive integers $1 \leq k_1 < k_2 < \cdots \leq e$. Then we say that μ is a "refinement" of λ.[33] By the construction of the associated partial flag bundle $\mathcal{F}\ell^{\lambda}(E)$ (see §4.1), we have a natural projection from $\mathcal{F}\ell^{\mu}(E)$ to $\mathcal{F}\ell^{\lambda}(E)$, denoted by π^{μ}_{λ}. Furthermore, for three partitions λ, μ, and $\nu \in \mathcal{P}_n$, if ν is a refinement of μ, and μ is a refinement of λ, then ν is also a refinement of λ, and the relation $\pi^{\mu}_{\lambda} \circ \pi^{\nu}_{\mu} = \pi^{\nu}_{\lambda}$ holds. For example, the partition $\rho_{n-1} = (n-1, n-2, \ldots, 1, 0) \in \mathcal{P}_n$ is a refinement of any partition $\lambda \in \mathcal{P}_n$, and any partition $\lambda \in \mathcal{P}_n$ is a refinement of the empty partition $\emptyset = (0^n)$. In particular, we are concerned with the following three projections and the induced Gysin homomorphisms in complex cobordism:

$$\begin{aligned} &\pi^{\rho_{n-1}}_{\emptyset} = \tau : \mathcal{F}\ell^{\rho_{n-1}}(E) = \mathcal{F}\ell(E) \longrightarrow\!\!\!\!\!\rightarrow \mathcal{F}\ell^{\emptyset}(E) = X, \\ &\pi^{\lambda}_{\emptyset} = \eta_{\lambda} : \mathcal{F}\ell^{\lambda}(E) \longrightarrow\!\!\!\!\!\rightarrow \mathcal{F}\ell^{\emptyset}(E) = X, \\ &\pi^{\rho_{n-1}}_{\lambda} : \mathcal{F}\ell^{\rho_{n-1}}(E) = \mathcal{F}\ell(E) \longrightarrow\!\!\!\!\!\rightarrow \mathcal{F}\ell^{\lambda}(E). \end{aligned} \tag{5.4}$$

The theorem below (Theorem 5.6) will be useful in describing the difference between the "new" function $\mathbb{S}^{\mathbb{L}}_{\lambda}(\mathbf{x}_n|\mathbf{b})$ and the "old" one $s^{\mathbb{L}}_{\lambda}(\mathbf{x}_n|\mathbf{b})$. Note that τ is written as a composite $\eta_{\lambda} \circ \pi^{\rho_{n-1}}_{\lambda}$, and hence $\tau_* = (\eta_{\lambda})_* \circ (\pi^{\rho_{n-1}}_{\lambda})_*$.

Theorem 5.6.

(1) *For the Gysin map $(\pi^{\rho_{n-1}}_{\emptyset})_* = \tau_* : MU^*(\mathcal{F}\ell(E)) \longrightarrow MU^*(X)$, the following formula holds*:

$$(\pi^{\rho_{n-1}}_{\emptyset})_*([\mathbf{x}|\mathbf{b}]^{\lambda+\rho_{n-1}}) = s^{\mathbb{L}}_{\lambda}(\mathbf{x}_n|\mathbf{b}).$$

(2) *For the Gysin map $(\pi^{\lambda}_{\emptyset})_* = (\eta_{\lambda})_* : MU^*(\mathcal{F}\ell^{\lambda}(E)) \longrightarrow MU^*(X)$, the following formula holds*:

$$(\pi^{\lambda}_{\emptyset})_*((\mathbf{x}|\mathbf{b})^{[\lambda]}) = \mathbb{S}^{\mathbb{L}}_{\lambda}(\mathbf{x}_n|\mathbf{b}).$$

[33] Note that even though μ is a "refinement" of λ, this does not necessarily mean $\mu \subset \lambda$.

(3) *For the Gysin map* $(\pi_\lambda^{\rho_{n-1}})_* : MU^*(\mathcal{F}\ell(E)) \longrightarrow MU^*(\mathcal{F}\ell^\lambda(E))$*, the following formula holds*:

$$(\pi_\lambda^{\rho_{n-1}})_*([\mathbf{x}|\mathbf{b}]^{\lambda+\rho_{n-1}}) = (\mathbf{x}|\mathbf{b})^{[\lambda]} \cdot \prod_{r=1}^{d} s_\emptyset^{\mathbb{L}}(x_{\nu(r-1)+1}, \ldots, x_{\nu(r)}|\mathbf{b}[+[n_r + n - \nu(r)]]).$$

Here $\mathbf{b} = (b_1, b_2, \ldots)$ *is a sequence of elements in* $MU^*(X)$*, and* $\mathbf{b}[+m] := (b_{m+1}, b_{m+2}, \ldots)$ *for a positive integer* m*.*

Proof. (1) and (2): The Gysin maps $(\pi_\emptyset^{\rho_{n-1}})_* = \tau_*$ and $(\pi_\emptyset^\lambda)_* = (\eta_\lambda)_*$ were already considered in (4.3) and (5.3) respectively.

(3) We shall show the assertion when the partition $\lambda \in \mathcal{P}_n$ is of the form $\lambda = (a^q\, b^{n-q})$ (see Example 5.5 (2)). In this case, one sees

$$\begin{aligned}
[\mathbf{x}|\mathbf{b}]^{\lambda+\rho_{n-1}} &= \prod_{i=1}^{q} [x_i|\mathbf{b}]^{a+n-i} \times \prod_{i=q+1}^{n} [x_i|\mathbf{b}]^{b+n-i} \\
&= \prod_{i=1}^{q} [x_i|\mathbf{b}]^{a+n-q} \times \prod_{i=q+1}^{n} [x_i|\mathbf{b}]^{b} \times \prod_{i=1}^{q} [x_i|\mathbf{b}[+(a+n-q)]]^{q-i} \times \prod_{i=q+1}^{n} [x_i|\mathbf{b}[+b]]^{n-i} \\
&= (\mathbf{x}|\mathbf{b})^{[\lambda]} \times \prod_{i=1}^{q} [x_i|\mathbf{b}[+(a+n-q)]]^{q-i} \times \prod_{i=q+1}^{n} [x_i|\mathbf{b}[+b]]^{n-i}.
\end{aligned}$$

Since $(\mathbf{x}|\mathbf{b})^{[\lambda]}$ is $S_q \times S_{n-q}$-invariant, we have by the Bressler-Evens formula (Theorem 2.5),

$$\begin{aligned}
(\pi_\lambda^{\rho_{n-1}})_*([\mathbf{x}|\mathbf{b}]^{\lambda+\rho_{n-1}}) &= \sum_{w \in S_q \times S_{n-q}} w \cdot \left[\frac{[\mathbf{x}|\mathbf{b}]^{\lambda+\rho_{n-1}}}{\prod_{1\le i<j\le q}(x_i +_{\mathbb{L}} \overline{x}_j) \times \prod_{q+1\le i<j\le n}(x_i +_{\mathbb{L}} \overline{x}_j)} \right] \\
&= (\mathbf{x}|\mathbf{b})^{[\lambda]} \times \sum_{w \in S_q \times S_{n-q}} w \cdot \left[\frac{\prod_{i=1}^{q} [x_i|\mathbf{b}[+(a+n-q)]]^{q-i} \times \prod_{i=q+1}^{n} [x_i|\mathbf{b}[+b]]^{n-i}.}{\prod_{1\le i<j\le q}(x_i +_{\mathbb{L}} \overline{x}_j) \times \prod_{q+1\le i<j\le n}(x_i +_{\mathbb{L}} \overline{x}_j)} \right] \\
&= (\mathbf{x}|\mathbf{b})^{[\lambda]} \times s_\emptyset^{\mathbb{L}}(x_1, \ldots, x_q|\mathbf{b}[+(a+n-q)]) \times s_\emptyset^{\mathbb{L}}(x_{q+1}, \ldots, x_n|\mathbf{b}[+b]),
\end{aligned}$$

and the theorem holds.

For an arbitrary partition $\lambda \in \mathcal{P}_n$, write λ as the following form: $\lambda = (n_1^{m_1}\ n_2^{m_2}\ \cdots\ n_d^{m_d})$, $n_1 > n_2 > \cdots > n_d \ge 0$. Here $m_i > 0$ for $i = 1, 2, \ldots, d$, and $\sum_{i=1}^{d} m_i = n$. Put $\nu(r) = \sum_{i=1}^{r} m_i$ for $r = 1, 2, \ldots, d$ and $\nu(0) = 0$. Then analogous computation to the above case leads to the result of $(\pi_\lambda^{\rho_{n-1}})_*([\mathbf{x}|\mathbf{b}]^{\lambda+\rho_{n-1}})$. Note that in the case where $\lambda = (a^q\, b^{n-q})$, the "parameter shift" is given by $a + n - q = n_1 + n - \nu(1)$ and $b = n_2 + n - \nu(2)$. □

With the aide of Theorem 5.6, we observe that

(5.5)

$$\begin{aligned}
s_\lambda^{\mathbb{L}}(\mathbf{x}_n|\mathbf{b}) &= \tau_*([\mathbf{x}|\mathbf{b}]^{\lambda+\rho_{n-1}}) = (\eta_\lambda)_* \circ (\pi_\lambda^{\rho_{n-1}})_*([\mathbf{x}|\mathbf{b}]^{\lambda+\rho_{n-1}}) \\
&= (\eta_\lambda)_* \left((\mathbf{x}|\mathbf{b})^{[\lambda]} \cdot \prod_{r=1}^{d} s_\emptyset^{\mathbb{L}}(x_{\nu(r-1)+1}, \ldots, x_{\nu(r)}|\mathbf{b}[+[n_r + n - \nu(r)]]) \right), \\
\mathbb{S}_\lambda^{\mathbb{L}}(\mathbf{x}_n|\mathbf{b}) &= (\eta_\lambda)_*((\mathbf{x}|\mathbf{b})^{[\lambda]}).
\end{aligned}$$

Thus the difference between the "new" function $\mathbb{S}_\lambda^{\mathbb{L}}(\mathbf{x}_n|\mathbf{b})$ and the "old" one $s_\lambda^{\mathbb{L}}(\mathbf{x}_n|\mathbf{b})$ is given by the product of "old" functions corresponding to the the empty partition

$\emptyset$. From this, "new" function $\mathbb{S}^{\mathbb{L}}_{\lambda}(\mathbf{x}_n|\mathbf{b})$ reduce to $G_{\lambda}(\mathbf{x}_n|\mathbf{b})$ under the specialization from $F_{\mathbb{L}}(u,v)$ to $F_m(u,v)$ because of the fact $G_{\emptyset}(\mathbf{x}_n|\mathbf{b}) = 1$ (see e.g., Ikeda-Naruse [34, §2.4]).

5.3. **Generating function for $\mathbb{S}^{\mathbb{L}}_k(\mathbf{x}_n)$.** As we mentioned in Example 5.2 (2), the new universal Schur functions $\mathbb{S}^{\mathbb{L}}_k(\mathbf{x}_n) := \mathbb{S}^{\mathbb{L}}_{(k)}(\mathbf{x}_n)$ for $k \geq 1$ can be extended to non-positive integers. Thus one has the functions $\mathbb{S}^{\mathbb{L}}_k(\mathbf{x}_n)$ for all integers $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. In this subsection, we shall give the generating function for these. For this purpose, we make use of Quillen's result. Recall from Quillen [62] that the *normalized invariant differential form*[34] $\omega_{\mathbb{L}}(t)$ associated with the universal formal group law $F_{\mathbb{L}}(u,v) = u + v + \sum_{i,j\geq 1} a^{\mathbb{L}}_{i,j} u^i v^j$ is defined by

$$\omega_{\mathbb{L}}(t) := \frac{dt}{\dfrac{\partial F_{\mathbb{L}}}{\partial v}(t,0)} = \frac{dt}{1 + \sum_{i\geq 1} a^{\mathbb{L}}_{i,1} t^i}.$$

The logarithm $\ell_{\mathbb{L}}(x)$ of $F_{\mathbb{L}}$ is then determined by the equations

$$\ell'_{\mathbb{L}}(t)\, dt = \omega_{\mathbb{L}}(t), \quad \ell_{\mathbb{L}}(0) = 0.$$

Then Quillen gave the following formula (see also Damon [16, p.650, Proposition]):

Theorem 5.7 (Quillen [62], Theorem 1)**.** *Let $E \longrightarrow X$ be a complex vector bundle of rank n, let $\pi_1 : G^1(E) \cong P(E^{\vee}) \longrightarrow X$ be the associated projective bundle of lines in the dual $E^{\vee}$ of E, and let $Q \cong \mathcal{O}(1)$ be the canonical quotient line bundle on $G^1(E) \cong P(E^{\vee})$. Then the Gysin homomorphism $\pi_{1*} : MU^*(G^1(E)) \longrightarrow MU^*(X)$ is given by the residue formula*

$$\pi_{1*}(f(\xi)) = \mathrm{Res}_{t=0} \frac{f(t)\,\omega_{\mathbb{L}}(t)}{\prod_{i=1}^n (t +_{\mathbb{L}} \overline{x}_i)} = \mathrm{Res}_{t=0} \frac{f(t)\,dt}{(1 + \sum_{i\geq 1} a^{\mathbb{L}}_{i,1} t^i) \prod_{i=1}^n (t +_{\mathbb{L}} \overline{x}_i)}. \tag{5.6}$$

Thus $\pi_{1*}(f(\xi))$ is given by the coefficient of t^{-1} in the Laurent series

$$\frac{f(t)}{(1 + \sum_{i\geq 1} a^{\mathbb{L}}_{i,1} t^i) \prod_{i=1}^n (t +_{\mathbb{L}} \overline{x}_i)}.$$

Here $f(t) \in MU^*(X)[t]$, $\xi := c_1^{MU}(Q) \in MU^2(G^1(E))$, and $x_1 = \xi$, $x_2, \ldots, x_n$ are the MU^*-theory Chern roots of E.

On the other hand, in the same setting as in Examples 5.2 and 5.5, together with Theorem 4.10, we obtain

$$\pi_{1*}(f(\xi)) = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{f(x_i)}{\prod_{j\neq i}(x_i +_{\mathbb{L}} \overline{x}_j)}. \tag{5.7}$$

The equivalence of (5.6) and (5.7) is shown by Vishik [70, Lemma 5.36]. Therefore if we take $f(t) = t^{k+n-1}$ $(k \geq 1)$, we have by Example 5.2 (2),

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{S}^{\mathbb{L}}_k(\mathbf{x}_n) &= \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{x_i^{k+n-1}}{\prod_{j\neq i}(x_i +_{\mathbb{L}} \overline{x}_j)} = \pi_{1*}(\xi^{k+n-1}) \\ &= \mathrm{Res}_{t=0} \frac{t^{k+n-1}\,dt}{(1 + \sum_{i\geq 1} a^{\mathbb{L}}_{i,1} t^i) \prod_{i=1}^n (t +_{\mathbb{L}} \overline{x}_i)}. \end{aligned} \tag{5.8}$$

[34] If we put $a^{\mathbb{L}}_{i,j} = 0$ for all $i,j \geq 1$, then $\omega_{\mathbb{L}}(t)$ reduces to dt. If we put $a^{\mathbb{L}}_{1,1} = \beta$ and $a^{\mathbb{L}}_{i,j} = 0$ for all $(i,j) \neq (1,1)$, then $\omega_{\mathbb{L}}(t)$ reduces to $\dfrac{dt}{1+\beta t}$.

Thus the coefficient of t^{-1} in the formal Laurent series

$$\frac{t^{k+n-1}}{(1+\sum_{i\geq 1} a^{\mathbb{L}}_{i,1}t^i)\prod_{i=1}^n (t+_{\mathbb{L}}\overline{x}_i)}$$

is equal to $\mathbb{S}^{\mathbb{L}}_k(\mathbf{x}_n)$.

Remark 5.8. *It should be remarked that the above residue formula is closely related to the recent work of Darondeau-Pragacz* [18]. *The following comment might be useful when one wants to relate the residue formula to their work. We borrow the notation from* [18, §0]. *For a Laurent series $f(t)$ in one indeterminate t, we shall denote by $[t^k](f(t))$ the coefficient of t^k in $f(t)$. The the above residue formula means*

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{S}^{\mathbb{L}}_k(\mathbf{x}_n) &= [t^{-1}]\left(\frac{t^{k+n-1}}{(1+\sum_{i\geq 1} a^{\mathbb{L}}_{i,1}t^i)\prod_{i=1}^n (t+_{\mathbb{L}}\overline{x}_i)}\right)\\ &= [t^{n-1}]\left(t^{k+n-1}\cdot\frac{t^n}{(1+\sum_{i\geq 1} a^{\mathbb{L}}_{i,1}t^i)\prod_{i=1}^n (t+_{\mathbb{L}}\overline{x}_i)}\right).\end{aligned}$$

If one wants to consider the ordinary cohomology theory, we put $a^{\mathbb{L}}_{i,j}=0$ for all $i,j\geq 1$. Then the above formula leads to

$$s_k(\mathbf{x}_n) = [t^{n-1}]\left(t^{k+n-1}\cdot\frac{t^n}{\prod_{i=1}^n (t-x_i)}\right).$$

Here the left-hand side is the usual Schur polynomial corresponding to the one row (k), namely, the k-th homogeneous complete symmetric polynomial. We see easily that the rational function $\dfrac{t^n}{\prod_{i=1}^n (t-x_i)}$ in the right-hand side is the "reversed Segre polynomial" $s_{1/t}(E)$ of E if we think the variables $x_1,\ldots,x_n$ the Chern roots of a complex vector bundle E. This is the fundamental formula given in [18, §0, p.2].[35]

From the above interpretation, we can obtain the generating function for $\mathbb{S}^{\mathbb{L}}_k(\mathbf{x}_n)$ $(k\in\mathbb{Z})$. We argue as follows: Set

$$F_n(t) := \frac{t^n}{(1+\sum_{i\geq 1} a^{\mathbb{L}}_{i,1}t^i)\prod_{i=1}^n (t+_{\mathbb{L}}\overline{x}_i)}.$$

Then it follows from the above interpretation that the coefficient of t^{-k} of $F_n(t)$ is equal to $\mathbb{S}^{\mathbb{L}}_k(\mathbf{x}_n)$ for each positive integer $k\geq 1$. By defining $\mathbb{S}^{\mathbb{L}}_k(\mathbf{x}_n)$ for $k\leq 0$ by the same procedure, one obtains

$$F_n(t) = \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{S}^{\mathbb{L}}_k(\mathbf{x}_n)t^{-k}.$$

Thus we have the following:

Theorem 5.9. *The generating function for $\mathbb{S}^{\mathbb{L}}_k(\mathbf{x}_n)$ $(k\in\mathbb{Z})$ is given by*

$$\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{S}^{\mathbb{L}}_k(\mathbf{x}_n)u^k = F_n(t)|_{t=u^{-1}} = \frac{u^{-n}}{(1+\sum_{i\geq 1} a^{\mathbb{L}}_{i,1}u^{-i})\prod_{i=1}^n (u^{-1}+_{\mathbb{L}}\overline{x}_i)}. \tag{5.9}$$

Remark 5.10.

[35]Since Darondeau-Pragacz uses the projective bundle of lines $G_1(E)=P(E)\longrightarrow X$, not $G^1(E)\cong P(E^\vee)$, in their formulation, one has to change E in their result to its dual $E^\vee$.

(1) *In Hudson-Matsumura* [29, Definition 3.1]*, the Segre class in the algebraic cobordism theory* $\mathscr{S}_m(E)$ $(m \in \mathbb{Z})$ *of a complex vector bundle* E *is defined by using the push-forward image from the projective bundle* $G^1(E) \cong P(E^\vee)$.[36] *By definition, these classes coincide with our* $\mathbb{S}^{\mathbb{L}}_m(E)$*. Furthermore, they obtained the generating function* $\mathscr{S}(E;u) := \sum_{m\in\mathbb{Z}} \mathscr{S}_m(E)u^m$ *of the Segre classes* [29, Theorem 3.6] *by a different method from ours. One can check directly that their result coincides with our Theorem* 5.9*. As for their argument, readers are recommended to consult Hudson-Ikeda-Matsumura-Naruse* [30, §3.1]*. In that paper, the* K*-theoretic Segre classes are introduced by the same way as above, and the generating function of the stable Grothendieck polynomials is given* [30, Theorem 3.2, Appendix 8]*. Their result can also be obtained from Theorem* 5.9 *by the specialization* $a^{\mathbb{L}}_{1,1} = \beta$ *and* $a^{\mathbb{L}}_{i,j} = 0$ *for all* $(i,j) \neq (1,1)$*.*

(2) *Since our formula* (5.9) *is universal, one can obtain the generating function of the "*h^**-theory Segre classes" of vector bundle by sepecializing the universal formal group law* $F_{\mathbb{L}}(u,v)$ *to the formal group law* $F_h(u,v)$ *corresponding to a given complex-oriented cohomology theory* $h^*(-)$*. For instance, we recently obtained a concrete expression of the "Elliptic Schur functions" corresponding to a cohomology theory, denoted* $\mathcal{SE}^*(-)$*, whose formal group law is that of a singular cubic curve in Weierstrass form, called hyperbolic* (*see Lenart-Zainoulline* [45], [46])*.*

5.4. **Application of the Gysin formulas for the new universal Schur functions –Thom-Porteous formula for the complex cobordism theory–.** Using the new universal Schur functions, one can formulate the Thom-Porteous formula (2.17) in the universal setting. We use the same notation as in §2.6.4. As explained in that subsection, in order to obtain the class determined by $D_r(\varphi)$, we have to compute the image $\pi_{F*}(c^{MU}_{e(f-r)}(\pi_F^*(E)^\vee \otimes Q_F))$. Let $x_1,\ldots,x_f$ (resp. $b_1,\ldots,b_e$) be the MU^*-theory Chern roots of F (resp. E). The Chern roots of Q_F are $x_1,\ldots,x_{f-r}$. Let $\lambda = ((e-r)^{(f-r)})$ be the rectangular partition with $(f-r)$ rows and $(e-r)$ columns as in §2.6.4. Then by the splitting principle, the top Chern class is given by

$$c^{MU}_{e(f-r)}(\pi_F^*(E)^\vee \otimes Q_F) = \prod_{i=1}^{f-r}\prod_{j=1}^{e}(x_i +_{\mathbb{L}} \overline{b}_j) = \prod_{i=1}^{f-r}[x_i|\overline{\mathbf{b}}_e]^e = (\mathbf{x}|\overline{\mathbf{b}}_e)^{[\lambda]}.$$

Here $\overline{\mathbf{b}}_e = (\overline{b}_1,\ldots,\overline{b}_e,0,0,\ldots)$. Therefore by (5.3) (see also Theorem 5.4 and Example 5.5 (2)), one obtains[37]

$$\pi_{F*}(c^{MU}_{e(f-r)}(\pi_F^*(E)^\vee \otimes Q_F)) = \pi_{F*}((\mathbf{x}|\overline{\mathbf{b}}_e)^{[\lambda]}) = \mathbb{S}^{\mathbb{L}}_\lambda(\mathbf{x}_f|\overline{\mathbf{b}}_e).$$

Theorem 5.11 (Thom-Porteous formula for the complex cobordism theory)**.** *If the codimension of* $D_r(\varphi)$ *is* $(e-r)(f-r)$*, then the class determined by* $D_r(\varphi)$ *is given by the new universal factorial Schur function* $\mathbb{S}^{\mathbb{L}}_{((e-r)^{(f-r)})}(\mathbf{x}_f|\overline{\mathbf{b}}_e)$*.*

5.5. **Application of the Gysin formulas for the new universal Schur functions –Class of Damon's resolution–.** As we mentioned in the introduction §1.2, it is well-known that the usual Schur polynomials $s_\lambda(\mathbf{x}_d)$, with λ contained in the

[36] For the K-theoretic analogue of the Segre classes of a vector bundle, see Buch [14, Lemma 7.1].

[37] Since we have $\mathbb{S}^{\mathbb{L}}_{(e^{(f-r)})}(\mathbf{x}_{f-r}|\overline{\mathbf{b}}_e) = \prod_{i=1}^{f-r}[x_i|\overline{\mathbf{b}}_e]^e$, this formula can be written as

$$\pi_{F*}(\mathbb{S}^{\mathbb{L}}_{(e^{(f-r)})}(\mathbf{x}_{f-r}|\overline{\mathbf{b}}_e)) = \mathbb{S}^{\mathbb{L}}_{((e-r)^{(f-r)})}(\mathbf{x}_f|\overline{\mathbf{b}}_e).$$

rectangular partition $((n-d)^d)$, represent the Schubert classes in the ordinary cohomology ring $H^*(G_d(\mathbb{C}^n))$ of the complex Grassmannian $G_d(\mathbb{C}^n)$ of d-dimensional linear subspaces in $\mathbb{C}^n$ (see, e.g., Fulton [24, §9.4]). In this subsection, by making use of the Damon's resolution of the Schubert varieties (Damon [17, §3, p.258]), together with our Gysin formula (5.3), we shall show that the new universal Schur functions $\mathbb{S}^{\mathbb{L}}_\lambda(\mathbf{y}_d)$, here $y_1, \ldots, y_d$ are the MU^*-theory Chern roots of the dual bundle $S^\vee$ of the tautological vector bundle S on $G_d(\mathbb{C}^n)$, give the "correct" representatives of the "Schubert classes" in the complex cobordism ring $MU^*(G_d(\mathbb{C}^n))$.

5.5.1. *Bundle of Schubert varieties.* In fact, we can formulate our assertion in more general situation: Let $E \xrightarrow{p} X$ be a complex vector bundle of rank n over a variety X. For a positive integer $1 \leq d \leq n-1$, consider the associated Grassmann bundle $\pi : G_d(E) \longrightarrow X$ of d-dimensional subspaces in the fibers of E. On $G_d(E)$, one has the tautological exact sequence of vector bundles

$$0 \longrightarrow S \hookrightarrow \pi^*(E) \twoheadrightarrow Q \longrightarrow 0,$$

where $\operatorname{rank} S = d$ and $\operatorname{rank} Q = n-d$. Suppose that we are given a complete flag of subbundles

$$F_\bullet : 0 = F_0 \subset F_1 \subset \cdots \subset F_i \subset \cdots \subset F_{n-1} \subset F_n = E,$$

where $\operatorname{rank} F_i = i$ $(i = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, n)$. For any partition $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_d) \subset ((n-d)^d)$, the *bundle of Schubert varieties*[38] $\Omega_\lambda(F_\bullet) \subset G_d(E)$ is defined by the so-called "Schubert conditions", namely

$$\Omega_\lambda(F_\bullet) := \{W \in G_d(E) \mid W \subset E_x \ (x \in X),\ \dim (W \cap (F_{n-d+i-\lambda_i})_x) \geq i \ (i = 1, 2, \ldots, d)\}.$$

5.5.2. *Damons's resolution of singularities of* $\Omega_\lambda(F_\bullet)$. Following Damon [17, §3, p.258], we will construct a resolution of singularities (or desingularization) of $\Omega_\lambda(F_\bullet)$ (with a slight modification). For a partition $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_d) \subset ((n-d)^d)$, we have a decomposition $[d] = I_1 \sqcup I_2 \sqcup \cdots \sqcup I_k$ as in §3.3. Putting $m_i := \sharp I_i$ $(i = 1, 2, \ldots, k)$, we can rewrite

$$\lambda = (n_1^{m_1}\ n_2^{m_2} \cdots n_k^{m_k}) \quad (n-d \geq n_1 > n_2 > \cdots > n_k \geq 0).$$

We put $\nu(p) := \sum_{i=1}^{p} m_i$ $(p = 1, 2, \ldots, k)$ and $\nu(0) := 0$. Since the variety $\Omega_\lambda(F_\bullet)$ is determined by the Schubert conditions corresponding to "outside corners" of the Young diagram of λ (see Fulton [24, §9.4, Exercise 18]), one can also define $\Omega_\lambda(F_\bullet)$ by

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_\lambda(F_\bullet) = \{&W \in G_d(E) \mid W \subset E_x \ (x \in X), \\ &\dim (W \cap (F_{n-d+\nu(p)-n_p})_x) \geq \nu(p) \ (p = 1, 2, \ldots, k)\}. \end{aligned} \tag{5.10}$$

Now consider the partial flag bundle $\varpi : \mathcal{F}\ell_{\nu(1),\nu(2),\ldots,\nu(k-1)}(S) \longrightarrow G_d(E)$ associated with the tautological subbundle S over $G_d(E)$. The fiber over a point $W \in G_d(E)$ consists of partial flags in a d-dimensional linear subspace $W \subset E_x$ for some point $x \in X$, namely a nested sequence of linear subspaces of the form

$$W_1 \subset W_2 \subset \cdots \subset W_{k-1} \subset W_k = W,$$

where $\dim W_p = \nu(p)$ $(p = 1, \ldots, k)$. On $\mathcal{F}\ell_{\nu(1),\nu(2),\ldots,\nu(k-1)}(S)$, one has the tautological sequence of flag of sub and quotient bundles

$$\begin{aligned} &D_1 \hookrightarrow D_2 \hookrightarrow \cdots \hookrightarrow D_p \hookrightarrow \cdots \hookrightarrow D_{k-1} \hookrightarrow D_k = \varpi^*(S), \\ &\varpi^*(S) \twoheadrightarrow Q_1 \twoheadrightarrow Q_2 \twoheadrightarrow \cdots \twoheadrightarrow Q_p \twoheadrightarrow \cdots \twoheadrightarrow Q_{k-1} \twoheadrightarrow Q_k = 0, \end{aligned}$$

[38] cf. Damon [17, §1, p.251], Hudson-Ikeda-Matsumura-Naruse [30, §4.1], Darondeau-Pragacz [19, §1.1].

where $\operatorname{rank} D_p = \nu(p)$, and Q_p is defined by $Q_p := \varpi^*(S)/D_p$ $(p = 1, 2, \ldots, k)$. Then the partial flag bundle $\mathcal{F}\ell_{\nu(1),\nu(2),\ldots,\nu(k-1)}(S)$ is constructed as a tower of Grassmann bundles (here we omit the pull-back notation of vector bundles as is customary):

$$\mathcal{F}\ell_{\nu(1),\nu(2),\ldots,\nu(k-1)}(S) = G_{m_k}(Q_{k-1}) \xrightarrow[=]{\varpi_k} G_{m_{k-1}}(Q_{k-2}) \xrightarrow{\varpi_{k-1}} \cdots \xrightarrow{\varpi_3} G_{m_2}(Q_1) \xrightarrow{\varpi_2} G_{m_1}(S) \xrightarrow{\varpi_1} G_d(E).$$

The natural projection $\varpi = \varpi_1 \circ \varpi_2 \circ \cdots \circ \varpi_k : \mathcal{F}\ell_{\nu(1),\nu(2),\ldots,\nu(k-1)}(S) \longrightarrow G_d(E)$ sends a point $(0 \subset W_1 \subset \cdots \subset W_{k-1} \subset W_k = W) \in \mathcal{F}\ell_{\nu(1),\nu(2),\ldots,\nu(k-1)}(S)$ to the point $W \in G_d(E)$. Note that the tautological exact sequence of vector bundles over the Grassman bundle $G_{m_p}(Q_{p-1})$ is regarded as

$$0 \longrightarrow D_p/D_{p-1} \hookrightarrow Q_{p-1} \twoheadrightarrow Q_p \longrightarrow 0.$$

We then define a subvariety X_k of $\mathcal{F}\ell_{\nu(1),\nu(2),\ldots,\nu(k-1)}(S)$ by

$$\begin{aligned} X_k \ := \{&(0 \subset W_1 \subset \cdots \subset W_{k-1} \subset W_k = W) \in \mathcal{F}\ell_{\nu(1),\nu(2),\ldots,\nu(k-1)}(S) \\ &\mid W \subset E_x \ (x \in X),\ W_p \subset (F_{n-d+\nu(p)-n_p})_x \ (p = 1, 2, \ldots, k)\}. \end{aligned}$$

By definition, a point $(0 \subset W_1 \subset \cdots \subset W_{k-1} \subset W_k = W) \in X_k$ satisfies the conditions $W_p \subset (F_{n-d+\nu(p)-n_p})_x$, and hence $W \cap (F_{n-d+\nu(p)-n_p})_x \supset W_p$ for $p = 1, 2, \ldots, k$. Therefore its image $W \in G_d(E)$ under the projection ϖ satifies the conditions $\dim (W \cap (F_{n-d+\nu(p)-n_p})_x) \geq \nu(p)$ $(p = 1, 2, \ldots, k)$. Thus W is in $\Omega_\lambda(F_\bullet)$ by the definition (5.10) of $\Omega_\lambda(F_\bullet)$. The map $\varpi|_{X_k} : X_k \longrightarrow \Omega_\lambda(F_\bullet)$ is a resolution of singularities of $\Omega_\lambda(F_\bullet)$ constructed by Damon.[39]

5.5.3. *Class of Damon's resolution.* Having constructed the resolution X_k of $\Omega_\lambda(F_\bullet)$, we then define the *Damon class* $\delta_\lambda \in MU^*(G_d(E))$ associated to a partition $\lambda \subset ((n-d)^d)$ as the push-forward image $\varpi_*([X_k])$ of the class $[X_k] \in MU^*(\mathcal{F}\ell_{\nu(1),\nu(2),\ldots\nu(k)}(S))$. One can compute the class $[X_k]$ explicitly by the standard fact about the top Chern class of a vector bundle (see e.g., Quillen [63, §2], Levine-Morel [47, Lemma 6.6.7]): The vector bundle homomorphism $D_p/D_{p-1} \hookrightarrow E \twoheadrightarrow E/F_{n-d+\nu(p)-n_p}$ over $\mathcal{F}\ell_{\nu(1),\nu(2),\ldots,\nu(k-1)}(S)$ defines a section s_p of the vector bundle $\operatorname{Hom}(D_p/D_{p-1}, E/F_{n-d+\nu(p)-n_p}) \cong (D_p/D_{p-1})^\vee \otimes E/F_{n-d+\nu(p)-n_p}$ for $p = 1, 2, \ldots, k$, and the conditions $W_p \subset (F_{n-d+\nu(p)-n_p})_x$ for $p = 1, 2, \ldots, k$ means that this section s_p vanishes. Thus the variety $X_k \subset \mathcal{F}\ell_{\nu(1),\nu(2),\ldots,\nu(k)}(S)$ is given by the zero locus of the section $s = \bigoplus_{p=1}^k s_p$ of the vector bundle $\bigoplus_{p=1}^k (D_p/D_{p-1})^\vee \otimes E/F_{n-d+\nu(p)-n_p}$. Therefore the class $[X_k]$ is given by the top Chern class of this vector bundle, that is,

$$[X_k] = \prod_{p=1}^k c^{MU}_{m_p+n_p+d-\nu(p)}((D_p/D_{p-1})^\vee \otimes E/F_{n-d+\nu(p)-n_p}).$$

In order to proceed with the computation, we consider the full flag bundle $\mathcal{F}\ell_{1,2,\ldots,d-1}(S) = \mathcal{F}\ell(S) \longrightarrow G_d(E)$. On $\mathcal{F}\ell(S)$, one has the tautological sequence of flag of subbundles

$$S_1 \hookrightarrow S_2 \hookrightarrow \cdots \hookrightarrow S_i \hookrightarrow \cdots \hookrightarrow S_{d-1} \hookrightarrow S_d = S,$$

where $\operatorname{rank} S_i = i$ $(i = 1, 2, \ldots, d)$. By the natural projection $\mathcal{F}\ell(S) \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}\ell_{\nu(1),\nu(2),\ldots,\nu(k)}(S)$, the bundle D_p over $\mathcal{F}\ell_{\nu(1),\nu(2),\ldots,\nu(k)}(S)$ is pulled-back to $\bigoplus_{i=1}^{\nu(p)} S_i$. Now we set

$$y_i := c_1^{MU}((S_i/S_{i-1})^\vee) \quad (i = 1, 2, \ldots, d).$$

[39] Damon's resolution is one of the *small resolution* of singularities of a Schubert variety constructed by Zelevinsky [71].

These are the MU^*-theory Chern roots of the dual bundle $S^\vee$. Also we set

$$b_i := c_1^{MU}(F_{n+1-i}/F_{n-i}) \in MU^*(X)\ (i = 1, 2, \ldots, n).$$

These are the MU^*-theory Chern roots of E. Then by the splitting principle, we have

$$\begin{aligned}[X_k] &= \prod_{p=1}^{k} c^{MU}_{m_p+n_p+d-\nu(p)}((D_p/D_{p-1})^\vee \otimes E/F_{n-d+\nu(p)-n_p}) = \prod_{p=1}^{k}\left(\prod_{\substack{\nu(p-1)<i\le\nu(p)\\ 1\le j\le n_p+d-\nu(p)}} (y_i +_{\mathbb{L}} b_j)\right)\\ &= \prod_{p=1}^{k}\left(\prod_{\nu(p-1)<i\le\nu(p)} [y_i|\mathbf{b}_n]^{n_p+d-\nu(p)}\right) = (\mathbf{y}|\mathbf{b}_n)^{[\lambda]}.\end{aligned}$$

Here $\mathbf{b}_n = (b_1, \ldots, b_n, 0, 0, \ldots)$. Thus we have

$$\delta_\lambda = \varpi_*((\mathbf{y}|\mathbf{b}_n)^{[\lambda]}).$$

Now, for a partition

$$\lambda = (n_1^{m_1}\ n_2^{m_2}\ \cdots\ n_k^{m_k}), \quad n-d \ge n_1 > n_2 > \cdots > n_k \ge 0,$$

consider the partial flag bundle $\eta_\lambda : \mathcal{F}\ell^\lambda(S^\vee) \longrightarrow G_d(E)$ associated with the vector bundle $S^\vee \longrightarrow G_d(E)$. Since we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{F}\ell^\lambda(S^\vee) &= \mathcal{F}\ell^{d-m_k, d-m_k-m_{k-1}, \ldots, d-m_k-m_{k-1}-\cdots-m_2}(S^\vee) = \mathcal{F}\ell_{m_k, m_k+m_{k-1}, \ldots, m_k+m_{k-1}+\cdots+m_2}(S^\vee)\\ &\cong \mathcal{F}\ell_{m_1, m_1+m_2, \ldots, m_1+m_2+\cdots+m_{k-1}}(S) = \mathcal{F}\ell_{\nu(1),\nu(2),\ldots,\nu(k-1)}(S),\end{aligned}$$

the partial flag bundle $\varpi : \mathcal{F}\ell_{\nu(1),\nu(2),\ldots,\nu(k-1)}(S) \longrightarrow G_d(E)$ is identified with the partial flag bundle $\eta_\lambda : \mathcal{F}\ell^\lambda(S^\vee) \longrightarrow G_d(E)$. Therefore computing $\varpi_*((\mathbf{y}|\mathbf{b}_n)^{[\lambda]})$ is equivalent to computing $(\eta_\lambda)_*((\mathbf{y}|\mathbf{b}_n)^{[\lambda]})$. Our Gysin formula (5.3) then yields the following:

Theorem 5.12. *The Damon class δ_λ is represented by the new universal factorial Schur function. More precisely, we have*

$$\delta_\lambda = \mathbb{S}^{\mathbb{L}}_\lambda(\mathbf{y}_d|\mathbf{b}_n) \in MU^*(G_d(E)).$$

By Theorem 5.12, one may call the new universal factorial Schur function $\mathbb{S}^{\mathbb{L}}_\lambda(\mathbf{x}_n|\mathbf{b})$ the universal factorial Schur function of *Damon type*.

5.6. **Concluding remarks and related work.** So far, we have considered two types of universal analogues of usual Schur polynomials, namely, the *universal factorial Schur functions* $s^{\mathbb{L}}_\lambda(\mathbf{x}_n|\mathbf{b})$ and the *new universal factorial Schur functions* or the *universal factorial Schur functions of Damon type* $\mathbb{S}^{\mathbb{L}}_\lambda(\mathbf{x}_n|\mathbf{b})$. In closing this paper, we shall introduce another type of universal analogue of Schur polynomials, which was essentially introduced by Hudson-Matsumura [29, Definition 4.1], and state briefly our new results. Details will be discussed in our forthcoming paper [56]. Hudson-Matsumura introduced the class κ_λ (in the algebraic cobordism ring $\Omega^*(G_d(E))$) using the *Kempf-Laksov resolution* (see op. cit. [29, §4.2], Kempf-Laksov [41]) of the Schubert varieties. They call the class κ_λ the *Kempf-Laksov class*. Using the Gysin formula (5.3) and Theorem 4.10, we are able to show that the Kempf-Laksov class κ_λ is given explicitly by (here r is the length of λ)

$$\begin{aligned}\kappa_\lambda &= (\eta_{\rho_r})_*([\mathbf{y}|\mathbf{b}_n]^{\lambda+\rho_{r-1}+(d-r)^r})\\ &= \sum_{\overline{w}\in S_d/(S_1)^r\times S_{d-r}} w\cdot\left[\frac{[\mathbf{y}|\mathbf{b}_n]^{\lambda+\rho_{r-1}+(d-r)^r}}{\prod_{1\le i\le r}\prod_{i<j\le d}(y_i +_{\mathbb{L}} \overline{y}_j)}\right].\end{aligned} \tag{5.11}$$

Motivated by this formula, we make the following definition:

Definition 5.13 (Universal factorial Schur functions of Kempf-Laksov type[40]). *For a partition $\lambda \in \mathcal{P}_n$ with length $\ell(\lambda) = r \leq n$, we define*

$$\begin{aligned} s_\lambda^{\mathbb{L},KL}(\mathbf{x}_n|\mathbf{b}) &:= \sum_{\overline{w} \in S_n/(S_1)^r \times S_{n-r}} w \cdot \left[\frac{[\mathbf{x}|\mathbf{b}]^{\lambda+\rho_{r-1}+(n-r)^r}}{\prod_{1\leq i\leq r}\prod_{i<j\leq n}(x_i +_{\mathbb{L}} \overline{x}_j)} \right] \\ &= \sum_{\overline{w} \in S_n/(S_1)^r \times S_{n-r}} w \cdot \left[\frac{\prod_{i=1}^r [x_i|\mathbf{b}]^{\lambda_i - i+n}}{\prod_{1\leq i\leq r}\prod_{i<j\leq n}(x_i +_{\mathbb{L}} \overline{x}_j)} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

We also define

$$s_\lambda^{\mathbb{L},KL}(\mathbf{x}_n) := s_\lambda^{\mathbb{L},KL}(\mathbf{x}_n|\mathbf{0}).$$

From Theorem 4.10, we obtain the following theorem:

Theorem 5.14 (Characterization of the universal Schur functions of K-L type). *For the Gysin homomorphism $(\tau^r)_* : MU^*(\mathcal{F}\ell^{r,r-1,\ldots,2,1}(E)) \longrightarrow MU^*(X)$, the following formula holds*:

$$(\tau^r)_*(\mathbf{x}^{\lambda+\rho_{r-1}+(n-r)^r}) = s_\lambda^{\mathbb{L},KL}(E).$$

Here $x_1, \ldots, x_n$ are the MU^*-theory Chern roots of E as in §4.2.1.

On the other hand, following Hudson-Matsumura [29, Definition 3.1], we define the *MU^*-theory k-th Segre class* $\mathscr{S}_k^{\mathbb{L}}(E)$ of a complex vector bundle E to be

$$\mathscr{S}_k^{\mathbb{L}}(E) := \pi_{1*}(x_1^{k+n-1}) \tag{5.12}$$

in the same setting as in Theorem 5.7. Let

$$\mathscr{S}_t^{\mathbb{L}}(E) = \mathscr{S}^{\mathbb{L}}(E;t) = \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} \mathscr{S}_k^{\mathbb{L}}(E)t^k$$

be the *Segre series* of E in the complex cobordism theory. Then by Example 5.5 (1) and (5.12), we see immediately that $\mathbb{S}_k^{\mathbb{L}}(E) = \mathscr{S}_k^{\mathbb{L}}(E)$, and therefore the Segre series $\mathscr{S}_t^{\mathbb{L}}(E)$ is given by Theorem 5.9. Using the Segre series $\mathscr{S}_t^{\mathbb{L}}(E)$, the *universal push-forward formula for full flag bundle of type A* established by Darondeau-Pragacz [18, pp.4–5][41] can be generalized to the complex cobordism theory.

Theorem 5.15 (Darondeau-Pragacz formula in complex cobordism). *In the same setting as in Theorem* 5.14*, one has*

$$(\tau^r)_*(f(x_1,\ldots,x_r)) = [t_1^{n-1}\cdots t_r^{n-1}] \left(f(t_1,\ldots,t_r) \prod_{1\leq i<j\leq r} (t_j +_{\mathbb{L}} \overline{t}_i) \prod_{1\leq i\leq r} \mathscr{S}_{1/t_i}^{\mathbb{L}}(E) \right)$$

for a polynomial $f(X_1,\ldots,X_r) \in MU^*(X)[X_1,\ldots,X_r]$.

This formula, together with Theorem 5.14, enables us to obtain the generating function of the universal Schur functions of K-L type (see Nakagawa-Naruse [56]).

[40] "K-L type" for short.

[41] Note that this type of Gysin formula is also obtained by Ilori [35, p.623, Theorem], Kaji-Terasoma [38, Theorem 0.4 (Push-Forward Formula)].

References

[1] J. F. Adams, *Stable Homotopy and Generalised Homology*, Chicago Lectures in Mathematics (1974), The University of Chicago Press.

[2] E. Akyildiz, *Gysin homomorphism and Schubert calculus*, Pacific J. of Math. **115** (1984), 257–266.

[3] E. Akyildiz and J. B. Carrell, *Zeros of holomorphic vector fields and the Gysin homomorphism*, Proc. Symp. Pure Math. Summer Institute of Singularities (Arcata, 1981), **40**, Part 1, Providence, 1983, 47–54.

[4] E. Akyildiz and J. B. Carrell, *An algebraic formula for the Gysin homomorphism from G/B to G/P*, Ill. J. of Math. **31** (1987), 312–320.

[5] M. F. Atiyah, R. Bott, and A. Shapiro, *Clifford modules*, Topology **3** (1964), 3–38.

[6] J. C. Becker and D. H. Gottlieb, *The transfer map and fiber bundles*, Topology **14** (1975), 1-12.

[7] A. Borel and F. Hirzebruch, *Characteristic classes and homogeneous spaces I*, Amer. J. Math. **80** (1958), 458–538.

[8] A. Borel and F. Hirzebruch, *Characteristic classes and homogeneous spaces II*, Amer. J. Math. **81** (1959), 315–382.

[9] R. Bott, *Lectures on $K(X)$*, W. A. Benjamin, Inc., New York-Amsterdam 1969.

[10] P. Bressler and S. Evens, *The Schubert calculus, braid relations, and generalized cohomology*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **317** (1990), no. 2, 799–811.

[11] P. Bressler and S. Evens, *Schubert calculus in complex cobordism*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **331** (1992), no. 2, 799–813.

[12] M. Brion, *The push-forward and Todd class of flag bundles*, Parameter Spaces (P. Pragacz, ed.), **36**, Banach Center Publications, 1996, 45–50.

[13] G. Brumfiel and I. Madsen, *Evaluation of the transfer and the universal surgery classes*, Invent. Math. **32** (1976), 133–169.

[14] A. S. Buch, *Grothendieck classes of quiver varieties*, Duke Math. J. **115**, No.1 (2002), 75–103.

[15] P. E. Conner and E. E. Floyd, *The relation of cobordism to K-theories*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics **28** (1966), Springer-Verlag.

[16] J. Damon, *The Gysin homomorphism for flag bundles*, Amer. J. Math. **95** (1973), 643–659.

[17] J. Damon, *The Gysin homomorphism for flag bundles*: *applications*, Amer. J. Math. **96** (1974), 248–260.

[18] L. Darondeau and P. Pragacz, *Universal Gysin formulas for flag bundles*, arXiv:1510.07852.

[19] L. Darondeau and P. Pragacz, *Gysin maps, duality and Schubert classes*, arXiv:1602.01983.

[20] A. Dold, *Relations between ordinary and extraordinary homology*, Colloquium on Algebraic Topology, 1-10 August, 1962.

[21] S. Eilenberg and N. Steenrod, *Foundations of algebraic topology*, Princeton University Press, Princeton, New Jersey, 1952.

[22] K. E. Fel'dman, *An equivariant analog of the Poincaré-Hopf theorem*, J. Math. Sci., **113** (2003), 906–914; Translated from Zap. Nauchn. Sem. POMI, **267** (2001), 303–318.

[23] W. Fulton, *Intersection Theory*, Second Edition, Springer-Verlag, 1998.

[24] W. Fulton, *Young Tableaux*, London Mathematical Society Student Texts **35**, Cambridge University Press, 1997.

[25] W. Fulton and P. Pragacz, *Schubert varieties and degeneracy loci*, Lecture Notes in Math. **1689**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1998.

[26] A. Grothendieck, *La théorie des classes de Chern*, Bull. Soc. Math. France **86** (1958), 137–154.

[27] J. Harris and L. Tu, *Chern numbers of kernel and cokernel bundles*, Invent. Math. **75** (1984), 467–475.

[28] J. Hornbostel and V. Kiritchenko, *Schubert calculus for algebraic cobordism*, J. reine. angew. Math. **656** (2011), 59–85.

[29] T. Hudson and T. Matsumura, *Segre classes and Kempf-Laksov formula in algebraic cobordism*, arXiv:1602.05704.

[30] T. Hudson, T. Ikeda, T. Matsumura, and H. Naruse, *Determinantal and Pfaffian formulas of K-theoretic Schubert calculus*, arXiv:1504.02828.

[31] T. Ikeda, *Schubert classes in the equivariant cohomology of the Lagrangian Grassmannian*, Adv. in Math. **215** (2007), 1–23.

[32] T. Ikeda and H. Naruse, *Excited Young diagrams and equivariant Schubert calculus*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **361** (2009), 5193–5221.

[33] T. Ikeda, L. C. Mihalcea, and H. Naruse, *Double Schubert polynomials for the classical groups*, Adv. in Math. **226** (2011), 840–866.
[34] T. Ikeda and H. Naruse, *K-theoretic analogues of factorial Schur P- and Q-functions*, Adv. in Math. **243** (2013), 22–66.
[35] S. A. Ilori, *A generalization of the Gysin homomorphism for flag bundles*, Amer. J. Math. **100**, No. 3 (1978), 621–630.
[36] V. N. Ivanov, *Interpolation analogs of Schur Q-functions*, Zapiski Nauchnykh Seminarov POMI, **307** (2004), 99–119 (J. of Math. Sci. **131**, No.2 (2005), 5495–5507).
[37] T. Józefiak, A. Lascoux, and P. Pragacz, *Classes of determinantal varieties associated with symmetric and skew-symmetric matrices*, Math. USSR Izv. **18** (1982), 575–586.
[38] H. Kaji and T. Terasoma, *Degree formulae for Grassmann bundles, II*, arXiv:1508.01663.
[39] H. Kajimoto, *The Poincaré duality and the Gysin homomorphism for flag manifolds*, Hiroshima Math. J. **27** (1997), 189–207.
[40] H. Kajimoto and T. Sugawara, *The Gysin homomorphisms for flag bundles of the symplectic groups*, Kyushu J. Math. **52** (1998), 287–297.
[41] G. Kempf and D. Laksov, *The determinantal formula of Schubert calculus*, Acta Math. **132** (1974), 153–162.
[42] A. Knutson and T. Tao, *Puzzles and (equivariant) cohomology of Grassmannians*, Duke Math. J. **119** (2003), 221–260.
[43] A. Kono and D. Tamaki, *Generalized cohomology*, Translated from the 2002 Japanese edition by Tamaki. Translations of Mathematical Monographs, **230**. Iwanami Series in Modern Mathematics. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2006.
[44] M. Lazard, *Sur les groupes de Lie formels à un paramètre*, Bull. Soc. Math. France **83** (1955), 251–274.
[45] C. Lenart and K. Zainoulline, *Towards generalized cohomology Schubert calculus via formal root polynomials*, arXiv:1408.5952.
[46] C. Lenart and K. Zainoulline, *A Schubert basis in equivariant elliptic cohomology*, arXiv:1508.03134.
[47] M. Levine and F. Morel, *Algebraic Cobordism*, Springer Monographs in Mathematics, Springer, Berlin, 2007.
[48] I. G. Macdonald, *Symmetric functions and Hall polynomials*, 2nd edition, Oxford Univ. Press, Oxford, 1995.
[49] I. G. Macdonald, *Schur functions*: *theme and variations*, Actes 28^e Séminaire Lotheringien, Publ. I.R.M.A. Strasbourg, 1992, 498/S-27, 5–39.
[50] L. Manivel, *Symmetric Functions, Schubert Polynomials and Degeneracy Loci*, SMF/AMS Texts and Monographs vol. **6**, Amer. Math. Soc., 2001.
[51] P. J. McNamara, *Factorial Grothendieck polynomials*, Electron. J. Combin., **13** (2006), no.1, Research Paper 71.
[52] J. W. Milnor, *On axiomatic homology theory*, Pacific J. Math. **12** (1962), 337–341.
[53] J. W. Milnor and J. D. Stasheff, *Characteristic classes*, Annals of Mathematics Studies, No. 76. Princeton University Press, Princeton, N. J.; University of Tokyo Press, Tokyo, 1974.
[54] A. I. Molev and B. E. Sagan, *A Littlewood-Richardson rule for factorial Schur functions*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **351** (1999), 4429–4443.
[55] M. Nakagawa and H. Naruse, *Generalized (co)homology of the loop spaces of classical groups and the universal factorial Schur P- and Q-functions*, *Schubert Calculus -Osaka* 2012, 337–417, Adv. Stud. Pure Math., **71**, Math. Soc. Japan, Tokyo, 2016.
[56] M. Nakagawa and H. Naruse, *Generating functions for the universal Hall-Littlewood P- and Q-functions*, In preparation.
[57] I. R. Porteous, *Simple singularities of maps*, Proceedings of Liverpool Singularities Symposium, I (1969/70), 286–307, Lecture Notes in Math. **192**, Springer, Berlin, 1971.
[58] P. Pragacz, *Enumerative geometry of degeneracy loci*, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. **21** (1988), 413–454.
[59] P. Pragacz, *Algebro-geometric applications of Schur S- and Q-polynomials*, Topics in invariant theory (Paris, 1989/1990), 130–191, Lecture Notes in Math., **1478**, Springer, Berlin, 1991.
[60] P. Pragacz, *Symmetric polynomials and divided differences in formulas of intersection theory*, Parameter Spaces (P. Pragacz, ed.), **36**, Banach Center Publications, 1996, 125–177.
[61] P. Pragacz, *A Gysin formula for Hall-Littlewood polynomials*, arXiv:1403.0788; Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **143** (2015), no.11, 4705–4711.

[62] D. Quillen, *On the formal group laws of unoriented and complex cobordism theory*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., **75**, No.6 (1969), 1293–1298.
[63] D. Quillen, *Elementary proofs of some results of cobordism theory using Steenrod operations*, Adv. in Math. **7** (1971), 29–56.
[64] D. C. Ravenel, *Complex cobordism and stable homotopy groups of spheres*, 2nd ed. AMS Chelsea Publishing, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, Rhode Island, 2004.
[65] T. Sugawara, *The Gysin homomorphism for generalized flag bundles*, Memoirs of the Faculty of Science, Kyushu University, Ser. A, **42** (1988), 131–144.
[66] R. Switzer, *Algebraic Topology -Homology and Homotopy*, Classics in Mathematics, Reprint of the 1975 Edition, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2002.
[67] R. Thom, *Les ensembles singuliers d'une application différentiable et leurs propriétés homologiques*, Séminaire de Topologie de Strasbourg, (December 1957).
[68] L. W. Tu, *Computing characteristic numbers using fixed points*, in *A Celebration of the Mathematical Legacy of Raoul Bott*, CRM Proceedings and Lecture Notes, vol. **50**, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2010, 185–206.
[69] L. W. Tu, *Computing the Gysin map using fixed points*, arXiv:1507.00283.
[70] A. Vishik, *Symmetric operations in algebraic cobordism*, Adv. in Math. **213** (2007), 489–552.
[71] A. Zelevinsky, *Small resolutions of singularities of Schubert varieties*, Funktsional. Anal. i Prilozhen. **17** (1983), no. 2, 75–77; Functional Anal. Appl. **17** (1983), no.2, 142–144.

Graduate School of Education
Okayama University
Okayama 700-8530, Japan

Graduate School of Education
University of Yamanashi
Kofu 400-8510, Japan
E-mail address: nakagawa@okayama-u.ac.jp
E-mail address: hnaruse@yamanashi.ac.jp